North Lincolnshire Clinical Commissioning Group



JOINT COMMISSIONING COMMITTEE			
MEETING:	Second Meeting in Public of the Joint Commissioning Committee		
MEETING DATE:	Thursday 11 June 2015		
VENUE:	Board Room, Health Place, Brigg		
TIME:	13:30		

PRESENT:				
NAME	TITLE	SERVICE/AGENCY		
Ian Reekie (IR)	Chair/CCG Lay Member, Patient & Public	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG		
	Involvement			
Dr Andrew Lee (AL)	CCG Member/General Practitioner	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG		
	Not in attendance for Items 6.1, 6.2 and 9.0			
Allison Cooke (AC)	Chief Officer	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG		
Therese Paskell (TP)	Chief Finance Officer & Business Support	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG		
Caroline Briggs (CB)	Director of Commissioning	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG		
Catherine Wylie (CW)	Director of Risk & Quality Assurance/	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG		
	Nurse Member			
Paul Evans (PE)	CCG Lay Member, Governance	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG		
Professor John Mayberry (JM)	Secondary Care Doctor	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG		
Frances Cunning (FC)	Director of Public Health	North Lincolnshire Council		
Zena Robertson (ZR)	Deputy Director of Nursing	NHS England – North		
		(Yorkshire and the Humber)		
Julia Pollock <i>(JuP)</i>	Chair	Healthwatch North		
		Lincolnshire		
IN ATTENDANCE:				
Clare Smith (CS)	PA (Note Taker)	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG		
John Pougher (JoP)	Assistant Senior Officer, Quality & Assurance	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG		
	In attendance for all items			
Julie Killingbeck (JK)	Relationship Manager	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG		
	In attendance for Item 9.0 only			

APOLOGIES:				
NAME	TITLE	SERVICE/AGENCY		
Dr Margaret Sanderson (MS)	CCG Chair/General Practitioner	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG		
Mark Janvier (MJ)	Head of Operations and Delivery	NHS England – North		
		(Yorkshire and the Humber)		

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION	DECISION/ACTION (including timescale for completion or update)	LEAD	
1.0 WELCOME, ANNOUNCEMENTS, APOLOGIES AND QUORACY			
IR welcomed all attendees to the second meeting 'in public' of the	Decision: Noted	Chair	
Joint Commissioning Committee. It was noted that the meeting was			
a meeting in public, and not a public meeting, therefore there was			
no public question time as part of the agenda. It was highlighted			

SUMMARY OF DISCUS	SION	DECISION/ACTION	LEAD
		(including timescale for completion or update)	
that any questions co	ould be raised at the CCG Governing Body	or aparato,	
	olic question time, at 14:00.		
	elcomed to their first Joint Commissioning		
_	Apologies were noted, as detailed above. It		
	eting was quorate to proceed.		
2.0 DECLARATION		Danisiana Makad	Cla a in
	any Declarations of Interest, not previously m known to the meeting.	Decision: Noted	Chair
Joint Commissioning Committee Member	Declaration of Interests		
Julia Pollock	 Trust Associate Member for Rotherham, Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust (RDaSH), sitting as a Lay Member on Mental Health Panels 		
Dr Andrew Lee	GP Partner of West Common Lane Teaching Practice (Practice has a contract with NHS England) Member of North Lincolnshire GP Federation		
Medical Services (PMS (Elderly Care Fund), as Practice, one of the loo	t specifically in relation to Items 6.1 (Personal Review), 6.2 (Primary Care Update) and 9.0 a GP Partner of West Common Lane Teaching cal PMS providers, and as a provider of elderly that AL would leave the room for the		
was in public, and the	er AL should leave the room, as the meeting meeting paperwork was in the public domain. national guidance was being followed.		
3.0 MINUTES OF TH	IE MEETING HELD ON 9 APRIL 2015		
The minutes were acce	epted as an accurate record of the meeting.	Decision: Noted	Chair
4.0 MATTERS ARISI	NG (NOT COVERED ON THE AGENDA)		
	actions highlighted on 9 April 2015 had been	Decision: Noted	Chair
	picked up via the agenda.	TTC 2015 /2016	
	RT: OUTTURN 2014/2015 AND ANNUAL BUDG		CFO&BS
provided information r	and the report was taken as 'read'. The paper	Decision: The Joint Commissioning Committee:	Crowbs
•	or primary care in 2014/2015	Reviewed and noted the	
	gets for primary care in 2015/2016	report	
	ating to primary care budgets in 2015/2016	Тероге	
Specific areas highlight			
Appendix 1 d	etailed all primary care actual expenditure in nd budgeted expenditure for 2015/2016,		
	en summarised into the main funding source		
	funding organisation. The information is the		
	tion which has been made available to those		
	ng under delegated co-commissioning powers		
Appendix 2 de	etailed the definition and key features of each ory, which would assist with the committee's		
	g of the potential for funding flexibilities and		
	s which may need to be considered during		
	nd future financial years		
 General conte 	ext (pages 3 and 4)		
 Current risks a 	and opportunities <i>(pages 4 and 5)</i>		

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION	DECISION/ACTION	LEAD
	(including timescale for completion or update)	
 Monitoring information for financial budgets in 2015/2016 will be supplied by NHS England at a summarised level on a quarterly basis, and will cover the year to date position and forecast outturn 	or update)	
 Information in relation to specific GP practices is deemed 		
by NHS England to be 'private and confidential', and will		
not therefore be made available as part of the finance		
reports presented in the public domain		
6.0 PERSONAL MEDICAL SERVICES (PMS) PREMIUM – WITHDRAW	VAL SCHEDULE	
6.1 PMS REVIEW 6.2 PRIMARY CARE UPDATE		
6.1: PMS Review	Decision: The Joint	DDoN
AL declared an interest in relation to Item 6.1 (Personal Medical Services (PMS) Review), as a GP Partner of West Common Lane Teaching Practice, one of the local PMS practices. It was agreed that AL would leave the room for the discussion. AL left the room for Item 6.1. ZR presented Item 6.1 and the report was taken as 'read'. The report provided committee members with a briefing on the Personal Medical Services (PMS) contracts review that was undertaken by NHS England in conjunction with the CCG. The paper set out the rationale for the review, raised awareness of the issues that had arisen, set out the financial profile for the resources that would be available for re-investment and provided a view on the information received from the practices. Specific areas highlighted/discussed: Process to Date (pages 5 and 6) Prior to the national review process being finalised, discussions had been on-going with West Common Lane Teaching Practice, one of the local PMS practices, which had been given approval to merge with Dr Balasanthiran's practice. It was agreed by NHS England (Yorkshire & Humber) that due to the workload of reviewing patients on Dr Balasanthiran's list, and the urgency of conducting those reviews, that exceptional circumstance applied. It was therefore agreed that no adjustment would be made to the premium within the newly merged practice for a period of 2 years from 1 April 2015. However, 100% of the premium will be removed from the contract baseline of the practice from the 1 April 2017. The pace of change process will see 75% of the premium paid back in the financial year 2017/2018, 50% in 2018/2019 and 25% in 2019/2020. Consequently the CCG will not have the full amount of resource to re-invest until the financial year commencing 1 April 2020 Local Impact (page 7) It was noted that contract variations in respect of PMS premium withdrawal had been agreed with	Decision: The Joint Commissioning Committee: Noted the content of the report Recognised the requirement to approve CCG re-investment plans for the premium monies and monitor its spend	DDoN

SUMMARY OF D	ISCUSSION		DECISION/ACTION	LEAD
			(including timescale for completion	
• Summar	o. (naga 7)		or update)	
• Summai	ry <i>(page 7)</i> As a result of the PN	AS review the following		
0		ilable to the CCG to		
	commission primary med			
	pa. ye.			
	Financial Year	Amount		
	2015/2016	£3,512		
	2016/2017	£7,025		
	2017/2018	£39,917		
	2018/2019	£72,808		
	2019/2020	£102,188		
	2020/2021	£131,567		
6.2: Primary Care	•			DDoN
		Item 6.2 (Primary Care		
		n Lane Teaching Practice,		
	=	reed that AL would leave		
the room for the	discussion. AL left the roo	om for Item 6.2.		
7D proconted to	om E2 and the rement :	was taken as 'read'. The		
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ding matters pertaining to		
•		ishire, and presented two		
items for a decisi		isilile, and presented two		
	shlighted/discussed:			
	Boundary Changes		Decision: The Joint	
0		ived one request for a	Commissioning Committee:	
· ·	_	ntractual boundary. Trent	Considered the request	
	-	closed their branch in	from Trent View Medical	
	Manby Road, Scunthorpe	e, in September 2014. The	Practice to change the	
		that they could relocate	practice boundary	
	to the Skippingdale br	anch (3 miles away) or	Approved the	
register with an alternative practice; at least 7		recommendation to allow		
	practices cover this area		the changes to be made	
0	The practice had red	quested to change its	to the practice's contract	
	•	the area following the		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Road surgery. This would		
		eady registered with the		
		ents would still have a		
	•	es that cover this area. In		
	accordance with the	• • •		
		hbouring practices had		
	taken place, and no com			
LMC has supported the request.				
0	Recommendation: The	3		
	Committee was asked to			
		equest from Trent View		
		e to change its practice		
	boundary Approve the re	acommondation to allow		
		ecommendation to allow be made to the practice's		
	contract	be made to the practices		
	Contract			
				<u> </u>

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION	DECISION/ACTION (including timescale for completion	LEAD
	or update)	
 Request for support funding to address patient safety concerns Following the merger between West Common Lane Teaching Practice and Dr Balasanthiran's practice, NHS England has received a request for 	Decision: The Joint Commissioning Committee: Considered the request from West Common Lane Teaching Practice for	
additional support to the sum of £6,034.49 On reviewing a sample of Dr Balasanthiran's patient records post-merger quality concerns emerged. As a result the practice recognised the need to take immediate action to ensure patient safety. The practice therefore submitted a business case aimed at completing patient record reviews at an accelerated pace Recommendation: The Joint Commissioning Committee were asked to: Consider the request from West Common Lane Teaching Practice for additional funding of £6,034.49 Approve the recommendation to allocate the funding to the practice (NHS England to fund) Budget Report	additional funding of £6,034.49 • Approved the recommendation to allocate the funding to the practice (NHS England to fund)	
This had been included for information at this stage in the financial year. Moving forward, a contextual narrative will be provided to inform the Committee of any cost pressures or variances from budget		
7.0 PERSONAL MEDICAL SERVICES (PMS) PREMIUM – 2015/16 RE		T
CB presented Item 7.0 and the report was taken as 'read'. The paper set out a proposal for use of PMS premium for re-investment. North Lincolnshire CCG currently has two PMS contracted GP practices. The PMS premium funding is being withdrawn from these practices over a four year period commencing in April 2015 for Practice 1 and April 2017 for Practice 2. Due to the pace at which PMS premium funding is withdrawn, the level of funding available for the CCG to re-invest in primary care services during 2015/2016 is £3,512. The CCG proposes that the PMS premium released in 2015/2016 is utilised to offer all North Lincolnshire practices Gold Standard Framework training, to improve the quality of care for people at end of life. This national training programme has demonstrated significant improvements in patient and carer experience of end of life, through improved processes and care. Specific areas highlighted/discussed: • It was queried whether the Local Medical Committee (LMC) had been consulted with regard to the reinvestment plans o It was confirmed that the LMC had not been consulted to date. AC undertook to discuss with Dr Russell Walshaw, the LMC Chief Executive.	Decision: The Joint Commissioning Committee: • Approved the recommendation to re- invest PMS premium funding in provision of Gold Standard Framework end of life training for all practices during 2015/2016	DoC

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION	DECISION/ACTION	LEAD
	(including timescale for completion or update)	
8.0 QUALITY/PERFORMANCE REPORT		
8.1 PRIMARY CARE PERFORMANCE DASHBOARD		
8.2 PRIMARY CARE QUALITY REPORTING AND ASSURANCE	1	1
8.1: Primary Care Performance Dashboard	Decision: The Joint	DoR&QA
CW presented Item 8.1 and the report was taken as 'read'. It was	_	
proposed that the Primary Care Performance Dashboard would	1 .	
provide, subject to on-going development and feedback, a ker	•	
element of a dedicated performance reporting and assurance framework for primary care.	Care Performance Dashboard	
Trainework for primary care.	Dastiboard	
The Dashboard was populated with General Practice high leve	1	
indicators which form part of the Primary Medical Service		
Assurance Framework. The Performance data used had been taken		
from the Primary Care Web Tool available on NHS England's Primary	<i>,</i>	
Care website.		
The Dashboard used actual Practice data, but the data had been		
anonymised for the purpose of the report. Indicators had been grouped into five NHS Outcome Framework domains, and where a		
practice outlier exists from the national average this would be		
highlighted.		
GP Practice development plans are produced, supported by the CCC		
and NHS England using the underlying Indicators within the	2	
dashboard.	Parisian. The Isiat	D-D9 O4
8.2: Primary Care Quality Reporting and Assurance Framework CW presented Item 8.2 and the report was taken as 'read'. It was	Decision: The Joint	DoR&QA
proposed that the table (once populated) would provide, subject to	_	
on-going development and feedback, a key element of a dedicated		
quality reporting and assurance framework for primary care. As par	. ,	
of the framework the report would sit alongside a programme o	•	
quality assurance reviews of general practices. The format of these		
reviews would be developed in conjunction with practices and NHS		
England. They would be designed to be supportive of practices in		
improving service quality and helping them meet a wide range o	f	
challenging quality and compliance requirements. The quality repor	t	
would augment a primary care performance report.		
The report would be enhanced with information collated from a		
wide range of sources and be modified to reflect any world		
undertaken in conjunction with or by NHS England.	`	
North Lincolnshire CCG Quality Group would be responsible fo		
identifying, mapping and evaluating data flows which will support the loint Commissioning Committee's role in respect of quality		
the Joint Commissioning Committee's role in respect of quality improvement.		
Specific areas highlighted/discussed:		
Performance of Patient Participation Groups (PPG)		
It was queried how the CCG would measure the	2	
performance of PPGs. It was suggested that thi		
could be incorporated as part of the review visit		
in practices		
 It was agreed that the review visits should be seen 	1	
as 'supportive'		

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION	l		DECISION/ACTION (including timescale for completion or update)	LEAD
first F&F r Access to Primary of Access to Primary of Access to discussed be able to At the many of Access to At the many of ELDERLY CARE FUND AL declared an interest in reading a GP Partner of West Common of elderly care. It was agreed discussion. AL left the room CB and JK presented Item The paper highlighted the asservice specification for the CCG and LMC and the Coun The paper also provided and proposed North Lincolnsing geriatric service (to include The Joint Commissioning CC Approve the ECF offered out to prace Approve further was and consideration of the proposed see Specific areas highlighted/de It was queried was a specific areas highlighted/de It was	Test was of report had a report had a primary. It was an access coment, the are Web ar	discussed. It was noted that the dinot yet been received and yet been received are care data by the CCG was greed that the CCG did need to be data in relation to quality the CCG only has access to the Tool according practices, if to offer early help where the Tool according Practice, and provider the Would leave the room for the 9.0. The report was taken as 'read'. Objectives of the final enhanced dowing meetings between with mbers. On the scoping exercise for the munity-based, comprehensive ention). Was asked to: It discussed to: It discussed to service specification to be nimmediate effect nalise the service specification munity based geriatric service sets way to achieve deliverability del and outcomes. The CCG was confident that antified and measurable place regarding patient	Decision: The Joint Commissioning Committee: • Approved the Elderly Care Fund (ECF) enhanced service specification, to be offered out to practices with immediate effect • Approved further work to finalise the service specification for a comprehensive community based geriatric service and considered the best way to achieve deliverability of the proposed service model and outcomes	DoC RM
10.0 ANY OTHER BUSINES Urgent Items by Price				
Nothing discussed.			Decision: Noted	Chair
11.0 DATE AND TIME OF	NEXT PUE	BLIC MEETING		
			Decision: Noted	Chair
Thursday 13 August 2015 Thursday 8 October 2015 Thursday 10 December 2015	Time 13:00 13:00 13:00	Venue Board Room, Health Place, Brigg Board Room, Health Place, Brigg Board Room, Health Place, Brigg		