MEETING:	24 th Meeting in Public of the NHS North Lincolnshire Clinical Commissioning Group Governing Body	NHS North Lincolnshire
MEETING DATE:	Thursday 10 December 2015	Clinical Commissioning Group
VENUE:	Board Room, Health Place, Brigg	GOVERNING BODY
TIME:	14:00	

PRESENT:			
NAME	TITLE	SERVICE/AGENCY	
Dr Margaret Sanderson (MS)	CCG Chair/General Practitioner	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG	
Ian Reekie (IR)	CCG Lay Member, Patient & Public	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG	
Allison Cooke (AC)	Involvement/Vice CCG Chair Chief Officer	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG	
Caroline Briggs (CB)	Director of Commissioning	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG	
Dr James Mbugua (JMb)	CCG Member/General Practitioner	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG	
Dr Nick Stewart (NS)	CCG Member/General Practitioner	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG	
Dr Faisel Baig (FB)	CCG Member/General Practitioner	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG	
Dr Andrew Lee (AL)	CCG Member/General Practitioner	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG	
Dr Robert Jaggs-Fowler (RJF)	CCG Member/General Practitioner/Medical Director	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG	
Paul Evans (PE)	CCG Lay Member, Governance	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG	
Frances Cunning (FC)	Director of Public Health	North Lincolnshire Council	
IN ATTENDANCE:			
Clare Smith (CS)	PA (Note Taker)	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG	
Bill Lovell (BL)	Assistant Senior Officer, Finance and Business Support In attendance for all items	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG	
John Pougher (JP)	Assistant Senior Officer, Quality & Assurance In attendance for Items 7.1, 7.2, 7.6 and 7.8 only	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG	

APOLOGIES:			
NAME	TITLE	SERVICE/AGENCY	
Catherine Wylie (CW)	Director of Risk & Quality Assurance/ Nurse Member	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG	
Professor John Mayberry (JM)	Secondary Care Doctor	NHS North Lincolnshire CCG	

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION	DECISION/ACTION (including timescale for completion or update)	LEAD
1.0 WELCOME, ANNOUNCEMENTS, APOLOGIES AND QUORACY		
MS welcomed all attendees to the twenty-fourth meeting 'in public' of the Clinical Commissioning Group Governing Body. Apologies were noted, as detailed above.	Decision: Noted	Chair
It was noted that the meeting was quorate to proceed.		
2.0 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS		
MS invited those with any Declarations of Interest, not previously declared, to make them known to the meeting. No declarations were received.	Decision: Noted	Chair

SUM	IMARY OF DISCUSSION	DECISION/ACTION (including timescale for completion or update)	LEAD
	MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING HELD ON 8 OCTOBER 201		
	minutes were accepted as an accurate record of the meeting.	Decision: Noted	Chair
4.0	ACTION LOG – ACTIONS UPDATE FROM 8 OCTOBER 2015		
•	Item 7.5 (8.10.15): Financial Control Environment Assessment	Decision: Noted	Chair
	Action completed.		
•	Item 7.11 (8.10.15): CCG Audit Group Annual Report		
	Action completed.		
•	Item 8.1 (8.10.15): Update: Healthy Lives, Healthy Futures (HLHF) Programme		
	Action completed.		
5.0	MATTERS ARISING (NOT COVERED ON THE AGENDA)		
	re were no matters arising to be discussed.	Decision: Noted	Chair
	CLINICAL COMMISSIONING	Decision: Noted	Crian
	AS FOR AWARENESS, NOTING AND RATIFICATION		
	Chair/Chief Officer Update	Decision: The CCG Governing	Chair
0.1	6.1.1 Chief Officer Appointment	Body:	CO
	It was noted that AC would be leaving the CCG on	 Noted the verbal update 	
	31 December 2015. AC was thanked for her input	- Noted the verbal apade	
	and work to date for the CCG and previously for		
	the Primary Care Trust (PCT).		
	6.1.2 Child and Adolescent Mental Health Service		
	(CAMHS) Transformation Plan		
	It was confirmed that the CAMHS Transformation		
	Plan had been submitted, and was assured by NHS		
	England.		
7.0	CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND ASSURANCE		
ITEN	NS FOR DISCUSSION AND/OR APPROVAL		
	Assurance Framework (AF) Report	Decision: The CCG Governing	ASOQ&A
	JP presented Item 7.1 and the report was taken as 'read'. The	Body:	
	report informed the Governing Body of the risks identified for	Approved the Assurance	
	North Lincolnshire CCG on the Governing Body Assurance	Framework and was	
	Framework (AF).	assured that it gave	
		sufficient evidence that	
	Specific areas highlighted/discussed:	key risks were being	
	The AF identifies key strategic risks in line with the	managed effectively	
	North Lincolnshire CCG Risk Management Strategy. All	,	
	other identified risks are held on the North		
	Lincolnshire CCG Risk Register. With the impending		
	transfer of a number of functions from Yorkshire and		
	Humber Commissioning Support (Y&HCS), it is planned		
	to establish directorate risk registers and a separate		
	Corporate Risk Register to 'sit below' the AF		
	 To make the AF easier to read, all risks have been re- 		
	numbered and grouped by risk 'owner'		
	 An additional column has been added 'source of risk', 		
	following recommendations from an internal audit		
	review		
	A commentary report has been developed to make it assists to track risk management, and provide an audit		
	easier to track risk movement, and provide an audit		
	•		
	trail. It is planned to develop the commentary report		
	•		

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION	DECISION/ACTION	LEAD
	(including timescale for completion or update)	
Governing Body with more contextual information to evaluate the overall effectiveness of the CCG's approach to risk mitigation • The AF is reviewed on a regular basis by Director Leads; each risk has a nominated 'senior owner'. The AF is reviewed by the Audit Group. The AF and CCG Risk Register are also reviewed regularly by the Quality Group • Public bodies must provide assurance that they appropriately manage and control resources that they are responsible for. HM Treasurer requires all public bodies to produce an annual governance statement that demonstrates how they manage their resources—the Assurance Framework and Risk Register are key elements of this document • There are currently eight risks on the Assurance Framework • The scores for three risks remain the same • Risk ID Q4: Risk to CCG regarding delayed delivery of retrospective claims • It was noted that this was a new risk • It was noted that this was a new risk • It was queried whether the risk to the CCG was reputational, financial or legal. After discussion it was agreed that the risk was all three (reputational, financial and legal) • Risk ID Q3: Risk of delayed delivery of Continuing Care services due to workforce capacity which may impact on the ability to conduct timely assessments (including retrospectives) and increased challenges through transition of CSU programme to CCG • It was agreed that the risk should be removed and placed on the archive register • It was noted that four risk scores had been reduced • Risk ID Q3: Risk of delayed delivery of Continuing Care services due to workforce capacity which may impact on the ability to conduct timely assessments (including retrospectives) and increased challenges through transition of CSU programme to CCG • Risk ID Q3: Risk of delayed delivery of Continuing Care services due to workforce capacity which may impact on the ability to conduct timely assessments (including retrospectives) and increased challenges through transition of CSU programme to CCG • Risk ID AO1: If the CCG fai	Action: Risk ID Q3 to be removed and placed on archive register	ASOQ&A

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION	DECISION/ACTION (including timescale for completion	LEAD
	or update)	
7.2 Quality and Risk Report JP presented Item 7.2 and the report was taken as 'read'. The	Decision: The CCG Governing Body:	ASOQ&A
report presented an updated position in relation to key areas	 Received and noted the 	
of risk and quality assurance within the North Lincolnshire		
	Quality and Risk	
CCG. Specific areas highlighted/discussed:	Management Report	
Section 2: Provider Assurance (page 5)		
 Organisational learning at Hull and East 		
Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust (HEY)		
 HEY continue to report challenges 		
regarding their performance in a		
range of areas. The CCG is working		
with Hull CCG as the lead		
commissioners of HEY to ensure		
delivery of improvements across the		
areas where performance is not		
being achieved		
 A Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) is 		
in place and will support		
improvement in this area. The QIP		
has been developed by HEY in		
conjunction with the Trust		
Development Agency and		
incorporates all remaining actions		
from the CQC inspection action plan		
and outstanding actions relating to		
serious incident investigations.		
Progress against the QIP will be		
monitored by the CCG via the		
provider management assurance		
structure		
 Section 3: Serious Incident Summary Report (page 6) 		
 Hull and East Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust 		
(HEY)		
 Discussion took place regarding the 		
level of learning further to incidents		
■ It was confirmed that CW was		
currently reviewing the format of the		
report, to base the summary on		
assurance		
 Discussion took place regarding the quality of 		
serious incident investigations, and the		
reporting within the NHS		
 Performance of providers 		
 Northern Lincolnshire and Goole NHS 		
Foundation Trust (NLaGFT)		
 Hull and East Yorkshire Hospitals 		
NHS Trust (HEY)		
 Rotherham Doncaster and South 		
Humber NHS Foundation Trust		
(RDaSH)		
 Nursing Homes 		
 Nursing homes have less developed 		
systems, this is being taken forward		
Primary Care Reporting		
, , , , ,		

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION		DECISION/ACTION (including timescale for completion or update)	LEAD
• Section 6: Safeg	The CCG continues to work closely with colleagues from the Local Authority to monitor the quality of care provision within care homes in North Lincolnshire. Where concerns have been raised or the CQC identify issues, the CCG undertakes assessments and offers support to the home; contracting levers are implemented where appropriate One care home has received a rating of 'inadequate' from the CQC. Significant work is being undertaken and an action plan is in place and being monitored by the CQC to ensure improvements are made. The local impact was queried. It was noted that contingency plans were in place are Executive Summary and the report was taken as 'read'. The verning Body with an updated summary on basis, of the corporate performance Business Intelligence Zone). Ed/discussed: ation Indicator Performance (page 5) 20 indicators ind	(including timescale for completion	DoC
position (found on the I Specific areas highlighte • Overall Constitu • Green: • Amber • Red: 6 • Areas of Except • Referra Pathwa	Business Intelligence Zone). ed/discussed: etion Indicator Performance (page 5) 20 indicators : 3 indicators indicators indicators ion (page 5) al to Treatment Times: Admitted ays < 18 Weeks (page 5) 31 Day Waits (page 6)	that areas of underperformance were being addressed at a local level to meet agreed	
(Page 6) Catego 6)	8 Minute Red 1 (page 6) 8 Minute Red 2 19 Minute (page 7) O Discussion took place regarding LIVES/First Responders ntial years of life lost from causes		
considered ame o It was going t • C.Difficile (page o Since financi	enable to healthcare (all ages) (page 7) confirmed that further work was on- o capture more information		

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION	DECISION/ACTION (including timescale for completion or update)	LEAD
to date • 2015/2016 Quality Premium (page 9) ○ It was suggested that the measures in the new report on the performance against the 2015/2016 Quality Premium should be numbered, for ease of reference ○ Urgent & Emergency Care — Achieving a reduction in avoidable emergency admissions (page 9) ■ It was queried whether there was any data available in relation to the Better Care Fund ○ It was confirmed that the reporting in relation to the Better Care Fund was presented to the Joint Board for Health and Social Care Services (Frail and Frail Elderly) ● Highlight Report (page 10) ○ A&E 4 Hour Waits (2015/2016) (page 10) ○ Provider Assurance Dashboards (page 11) ■ The CCG Quality Group met on 27 August 2015 and agreed that the following indicators would be included in future performance reports: ○ Response time by minute (CCG level) ○ Handover turnaround times ■ It was queried whether there were areas within North Lincolnshire where people were waiting longer for an ambulance. It was agreed that this needed to be understood ○ Public Health Indicators (page 11) ○ 2014/2015 Quality Premium (page 11) ● Provider Assurance Dashboard: Appendix 1 (pages 13 -		
7.4 Finance and Contract Report: Month 3 2015/2016 BL presented Item 7.4 and the report was taken as 'read'. The report briefed the Governing Body on the finance position and achievement of duties so far for 2015/16 (as at the end of October 2015). Specific areas highlighted/discussed: • Executive Summary (page 2) • Overall Financial Position (page 2) • Running Costs (page 2) • Risks (page 2) • Contract Position (page 2) • Headlines and Key Messages (page 4)	Decision: The CCG Governing Body: Received and noted the Finance and Contract Report	ASOF&BS

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION	DECISION/ACTION (including timescale for completion or update)	LEAD
Revenue Expenditure (pages 5 & 6)		
 Community, Mental Health and Continuing Care (page 7) 		
 Services for Vulnerable People (including Continuing Care) (page 8) 		
Primary Care (page 9)		
o Prescribing and Central Drugs (pages 9 & 10) ■ The net YTD position for Prescribing shows an over-spend of £808k. This is based on five months of 2015/2016 actual Prescribing Monitoring Document (PMD) expenditure, and an accrual based on the forecast from the PMD, reduced by Public Health recharge income and rebates ■ The Yorkshire and Humber Commissioning Support Finance Team is working with the budget holder to understand the increase in		
expenditure through focus on drug		
types, and practices with the most		
significant year on year increases		
■ There are a number of planned		
actions which are being monitored		
Expenditure and QIPP (page 11)		
As long as the CCG maintains disciplined		
control over all expenditure and QIPP through to the year end, the CCG is still on course to		
meet its statutory financial targets. However,		
it is recommended that contingency plans are		
urgently developed by the QIPP Group should		
any further risks materialise including		
continued QIPP failure. This will also assist the		
CCG's underlying financial position going into		
2016/17		
QIPP Delivery (page 12)Risk Management (page 13)		
O QIPP Failure		
■ Prescribing		
o Discussion took place		
regarding practice visits		
highlighted		
o The need to reduce		
medication wastage was highlighted		
o Discussion took place		
regarding supplement		
prescribing		
o Commissioning for Quality		
and Innovation (CQUINs)		
indicators were discussed		
• Financial Governance (page 16)		

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION	DECISION/ACTION (including timescale for completion or update)	LEAD
 QIPP (page 17) Healthy Lives, Healthy Futures (page 17) Contract Trading Position (pages 17 – 21) Northern Lincolnshire and Goole NHS Foundation Trust (NLaGFT) (page 17) Discussion took place regarding elective activity and a possible shift in the case mix Work is in progress to understand the apparent shift in elective activity It was noted that further discussion would take place at the CCG Engine Room meeting on 17 December 2015 7.5 Annual Report: Emergency Planning, Resilience, Response (EPRR) Assurance JK presented Item 7.5 and the report was taken as 'read'. 	Decision: The CCG Governing Body:	RM
Under the Health and Social Care Act 2012, the CCG is required to develop sufficient plans to ensure that the organisation and all commissioned provider services are well prepared to respond effectively to major incidents/emergencies, so that they can mitigate the risk to the public and patients and maintain a functioning health service. The report updated the CCG Governing Body on the current position of the North Lincolnshire CCG in relation to an assessment against core standards in emergency preparedness, resilience and response (EPRR) as part of the national EPRR assurance process for 2015/16. Following assessment, the organisation had been self-assessed as demonstrating full compliance level against the core standards.	 Received and noted the Annual Report Confirmed the CCG Governing Body were assured that sufficient plans were in place to meet the statutory requirements of the CCG as a Category 2 responder Approved the Core Standards Improvement Plan 	
Areas requiring on-going action throughout 2016/2017 were highlighted in the associated action plan/core standards improvement plan; this document would be reviewed in line with the organisation's EPRR governance arrangements. Specific areas highlighted/discussed: • As a Category 2 responder, the CCG is a 'co-operating body' • Emergency Preparedness, Resilience and Response (EPRR) Policy (page 4) • Business Continuity (page 4) • Pandemic Influenza/Infectious Disease Plan (page 4) • On-call (page 4) • Local Health Resilience Partnership (page 5) • Testing and Training (page 5) • NHS England EPRR Assurance Process 2015/16 (page 5) • CCG Staff Awareness/Training (page 6) • Conclusion (page 6)		

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION	DECISION/ACTION (including timescale for completion or update)	LEAD
 North Lincolnshire CCG has completed the national 2015/2016 EPRR assurance process through self-assessment against the core standards, and as a result of this process has been assessed as 'fully compliant' An action plan has been developed to strengthen EPRR across the organisation during 2016/2017 Appendix 1: Yorkshire and Humber EPRR Assurance 2015 – 2016 Statement of Compliance (page 7) Appendix 2: Yorkshire & Humber EPRR Core Standards Improvement Plan (page 8) Serious Case Review completed by North Lincolnshire Council Safeguarding Children Board JP presented Item 7.5 and the report was taken as 'read'. The report briefed the CCG Governing Body on a Serious Case Review published by North Lincolnshire Safeguarding Children Board in October 2015. The report focused on the key learning and recommendations arising from the review for the commissioning and provision of health services for North Lincolnshire residents, as well as outlining the actions in progress to address the learning/recommendations. Specific areas highlighted/discussed: It was queried when a lessons learned report from the provider would be obtained It was suggested that a timescale should be placed on informing the CCG about out of area placements It was noted that the recommendations from the Serious Case Review had been considered within the Child and Adolescent Mental Health Service (CAMHS) transformation work Appendix 1: SI14 Action Plan (pages 7 – 13) It was agreed that 'target dates' should be added to all recommendations in the plan 	Decision: The CCG Governing Body: Received and noted the Serious Case Review completed by North Lincolnshire Safeguarding Children Board	ASOQ&A
ITEMS FOR AWARENESS AND NOTING	D TI 600 0	
7.7 CCG Audit Group Minutes: 25 August 2015 PE presented Item 7.7 and the report was taken as 'read'. The CCG Audit Group minutes were for information only.	Decision: The CCG Governing Body:Received and noted the CCG Audit Group minutes	LM
7.8 CCG Quality Group Minutes: 27 August and 22 October 2015 JP presented Item 7.8 and the report was taken as 'read'. The CCG Quality Group minutes were for information only.	Decision: The CCG Governing Body: Received and noted the CCG Quality Group minutes	ASOQ&A
7.9 Joint Commissioning Committee Minutes: 11 June 2015 IR presented Item 7.9 and the report was taken as 'read'. The Joint Commissioning Committee minutes were for information only.	Decision: The CCG Governing Body: Received and noted the Joint Commissioning Committee minutes	Chair of the JCC
8.0 HEALTHY LIVES, HEALTHY FUTURES	- · · - · · - ·	
8.1 Update: Healthy Lives, Healthy Futures (HLHF) Programme RJF provided an update in relation to the Healthy Lives,	Decision: The CCG Governing Body:	HLHF CL

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION	DECISION/ACTION (including timescale for completion or update)	LEAD
Healthy Futures programme, via a PowerPoint presentation entitled 'Healthy Lives, Healthy Futures the future of health and care in North Lincolnshire' 8.1 Specific areas highlighted/discussed: • Health and Social Care (slide 3)	Noted the presentation	
 Population growth (slide 4) 		
Patient's Voice (slide 5)		
 Delivering more non-critical care away from hospital (slide 6) 		
Building a Sustainable Future (slide 7) Control of the c		
What has been done so far? (slide 8) Way strands of surrent work (slides 0.8, 10)		
 Key strands of current work (slides 9 & 10) Better Care Fund changes in the community (slide 11) Seven day support Community Wellbeing Hubs 		
 Long Term Vision for Out of Hospital Integration (Care Networks) as the first order of transformation (slide 12) 		
Out of Hospital Model – Core Principles (slide 13)		
 Care Networks (slides 14 & 15) There are three Care Networks Governance procedures Involvement of Patient Participation Groups 		
o Links with the voluntary sector		
 Use of urgent care in North Lincolnshire (slides 16 & 17) 		
Better Care Fund changes made in Scunthorpe General Hospital (slide 18) Hospital Society of Out of Hospital Madel		
 Impact on Hospital Services of Out of Hospital Model (slide 19) National policy review impacts (slide 20) 		
• Five year forward view (slide 21)		
9.0 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME		
A query was highlighted by a member of the public relating to:	Decision: Noted	Chair
Care Networks It was a waried when and heavy third contains a strong relation would be a strong relation.		
It was queried when and how third sector partnerships would be linked in. It was confirmed that the links would be made in		
early 2016, which would include Patient Participation Groups		
and voluntary agencies.		
10.0 ANY OTHER BUSINESS		
Urgent Items by Prior Notice		
Services for members of the Armed Forces/Veteran Care Discussion took place regarding two suggested.	Decision: The CCG Governing	LM
Discussion took place regarding two suggested recommendations put forward by Patient Participation Group	Body: • Considered the	
(PPG) Chairs in relation to access to healthcare for members of	recommendations in	
the armed forces. The CCG Governing Body was asked to	relation to services for	
consider whether a specific specialist GP should be identified in North Lincolnshire, and whether the CCG should support GPs in highlighting identifying members of the armed forces on CR	members of the Armed Forces	
highlighting/identifying members of the armed forces on GP		

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION	DECISION/ACTION (including timescale for completion or update)	LEAD
systems. Specific areas highlighted/discussed: o It was suggested that identification of veterans and their families is reliant on the disclosure of information. It was agreed that there should be encouragement for patients to disclose this information o The roles of NHS England and the CCG in commissioning services was discussed o Armed Forces Networks were highlighted o It was suggested that a training session could be provided as part of the GP educational sessions in the future		
11.0 DATE AND TIME OF NEXT PUBLIC MEETING		
Thursday 11 February 2016 13:30 Board Room, Health Place, Brigg	Decision: Noted	Chair
12.0 ADDITIONAL ITEMS FOR NOTING/INFORMATION ONLY		
12.1 CCG Engine Room – Decisions Made: October and November 2015	Decision: Noted, for information only	Chair
12.2 Health and Wellbeing Board: 16 June 2015	Decision: Noted, for information only	СО