

Date: 14.4.16 Meeting: Governing Body

Item No. 8.1
Public X

Author John Pougher, Assistant Senior Officer Quality

GB Lead: Catherine Wylie, Director of Risk & Quality Assurance

**REPORT TITLE: Board Assurance Framework** 

**DECISIONS TO BE MADE: To note and approve** 

Continue to improve the quality of services	Х	Improve patient experience	
Reduced unwarranted variations in services		Reduce the inequalities gap in North Lincolnshire	
Deliver the best outcomes for every patient		Statutory/Regulatory	Х

#### **Executive Summary (Question, Options, Recommendations):**

To inform the Governing Body of the risks identified for North Lincolnshire CCG (NL CCG) on the Governing Body Assurance Framework (AF).

The AF identifies key strategic risks in line with NL Risk Management Strategy. All other identified risks are held on the NL CCG Corporate and Directorate Risk Registers. Work is on-going to ensure that risks, including partnership risks, continue to be captured and managed at the appropriate level.

An attached commentary report makes it easier to track risk movement and provides an audit trail. It is planned to develop this commentary report and the format of the Assurance Framework to enable the Governing Body to more effectively monitor risk assurances and the effectiveness of mitigating actions.

The AF is reviewed by the Audit Group. The AF and CCG Risk Register are also reviewed regularly by the Quality Group.

Equality Impact	N	
Sustainability	2	
Risk	Υ	The AF is a key element of the organisations corporate governance framework.
Legal	Y	The organisation needs to demonstrate that it has an effective system to identify and manage risks.
Finance	N	

Patient, Public, Clinical and Stakeholder Engagement to date										
N/A Y N DATE N/A Y N DATE										
Patient:	X				Clinical:			Χ		
Public:	X				Other:			Χ		



### Governing Body Assurance Framework

Current Risk Score Source of Risk Key Controls Assurance on Controls Positive / External Assurance Gaps in Control Gaps in Assurance If there is a lack of collated o Dr Foster in place. Results of 23/03/2016 accurate data on out of review to monitored by Primary Joint Co-commissioning Board hospital mortality there is a Community Mortality Action Care Development ΔM Q1 NLaG Mortality Data 16 Same (NHSE membership) Engine potential that areas of high Group/Quality Group. MD and Room. Multi agency meeting. risk are not identified and/or DoR&QA attend community addressed mortality meeting Commissioning Plan for 2015/16. Through the Better Need to monitor Multi agency End of Life Group. Palliative Care services. Care Fund an increase in ECP effectiveness of cof patients are not supported DRQA Healthy Lives Healthy Futures. NLaG and Primary Care Two Consultants, Hospice New 12 12 Q2 they may have limited choice Same capacity has been secured ordinated approach Palliative Care and End of Life Mortality Data framework Muluti agency -EOL ir re their end of life care. (RATL). Invested in additional across North place (17/3/16) Strategy Group. Macmillan nurses in locality incolnshire. SLA and supporting service specification in place Risk to CCG regarding Governance arrangements in Commissioned from Doncaster. Doc Q4 delayed delivery of CHC Performance Data 16 Same Inconsistent reports to the CCG. Reporting not robust place. Commissioned from NHSE returns retrospective claims. Doncaster - new provider. NHS trajectory agreed. Director linability to recruit sufficient The CCG currently joined into 04/04/2016 GPs could lead to difficulty Humber wide initiative to recruit Reporting and Joint commissioning group 20 Q5 maintaining current level of practice nurses and GPs. rimary care data monitoring systems work. GP Federation Medical service and quality outcomes Systems being re-organised to being deveoped for patients develop primary care. Health & Wellbeing Board established with CCG vice Health and Wellbeing Board Chair. Engagement with Reports and minutes. practices - Engine Room. Performance Report, HWB If the CCG fails to engage Governing Body, Council of ICP / IWP established as working adopts areas as methods to and work with key partners groups of Health and Wellbeing Members, Safer Neighbour improve health inequalities. Peer review action and stakeholders (including Boards, CCG Collaborative Board. Better Care Fund signed health inequalities top priority. plan now in place β AO1 12 12 Same Local Authorities, GPs, established. Focusing work HLHF programme work Joint Governance Board re off by HWBB 15 CCG and in part Public) the delivery of CCG assurance process - NHSE Q1 with key strategic partners and Better Care Fund established implemented strategic objectives could be building locality based working. with LA. Section 75 assurance received. HWBB peer Health and wellbeing Board agreements in place to support reviews undertaken. threatened have now agreed on-going BCF. Joint HWB Strategy agreed. Meeting of Governing priorities going forward including HLHF. Care Body and Council of Members Networks coming on stream

11/04/2016

Risk ID	Link to Strategic Objective	Risk Description	Key Controls	Source of Risk	Impact	Likelihood	Risk Score	Status	Previous Risk Score	Movement	Assurance on Controls	Positive / External Assurance	Gaps in Control	Gaps in Assurance	Last Review Date	Lead
AO3	А	If the CCG fails to agree an acceptable / affordable option for Healthy Lives Healthy Future in a timely manner there is a risk of system failure.	Support Groups operating.	HLHF Programme Board data	5	4	20	н	20	Same	Programme Board and Governing Body reports. PMO Office, Clinical Lead and Programme Director. NLaG plan to Monitor submitted taking CCG systems into account.	Independent Chair appointed for Assurance Group. Strategic engagement from NLaG. Gateway report received. Joined up plan for Healthy Lives, Healthy Futures. PWC have reviewed financial gap, HLHF Programme Board scrutiny. Monitor & Area Team attendance at Programme Board.	Workforce Group. Underpinning Clinical Working Groups in place and	Plan for 16/17 has been developed but not yet agreed.	30/03/2016	AO
F1	А	If the CCG fails to deliver a balanced budget there will be no resources to support investment and the CCG could lose ability to self direct from NHS England.	Financial controls, regular meetings with budget holders. QIPP monitoring, Contract monitoring, Finance & Performance Group, Financial Control Environmental Assessment.	Finance & Performance Data	4	4	16	н	16	Same	Position monitored by CCG Engine Room and Governing Body. Reviews of monitoring reports. Added to BIZ. Audit Group monitors adequacy of controls. Standard Checklist for Budget Holder meetings. The Better Care Fund metrics and finances are reported to the Frail and Elderly Joint Board. Finance and Performance Group meets bi monthly.	External Audit Value for Money Reports. Deloitte assurance report available to CCG and their auditors. CSU QIPP review process, QIPP monitoring reports to CCG. Independent review on CHC spend. PWC provided costing support for Better Care Fund plans Underlying position reported to NHS England and included in Board Report. CCG assurance of assurance process includes finance ( assured with support). MOU and various risk shares helps to minimise financial risk in 15/16.	HLFL programme not yet identified required savings. QIPP needs to deliver savings recurrently. Opening financial gap 16./17 highlighted in finance report. Currently flagging 'Amber' to NHS England as not on target to carry forward 1% of budget	Proof that new models will deliver the savings required. Financial Control environment assessment action plan to outline how HLHF and QIPP processes will be reviewed/addressed and role of a more formal F&P Group ( on agenda for march's audit group)	30/03/2016	CFO
		ove the quality of services														
		outcomes for every patient						<b>-</b>	1	1						$\vdash$
	ve patient															
E. Redu	ce the ineq	ualities gap in North Lincoln	shire													

Almost certain	5	10	15	20	25
Likely	4	8	12	16	20
Possible	3	6	9	12	15
Unlikely	2	4	6	8	10
Rare	1	2	3	4	5
Probability Severity	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Serious	Catastrophic

11/04/2016

## **NL CCG Assurance Framework Commentary – Governing Body**

## **April 2016**

There are 7 Risks on the current Assurance Framework. All risk scores remain the same. Since the last Board report one risk, relating to the possibility of YHCS not maintaining adequate support during transition of services has been placed in the archive register following successful management of the transfer. An additional risk has been added that has been escalated from the Corporate Risk Register (Q5) relating to GP recruitment in primary care

The format of the Assurance Framework is under review to include risk appetite and enable more effective review of controls, assurances and mitigating actions.

#### **Risk Movement**

## Q1 (Mortality data)

Risk controls and assurances have been updated. Whilst this risk is subject to significant scrutiny and on-going actions the risk level remains the same.

### Q2 (End of life)

Risk controls and assurances have been updated. The risk remains subject to significant scrutiny and on-going work. In line with Audit recommendation when new assurances are added these will be dated.

### **Q4** Delayed Delivery of retrospective claims

Risk controls and assurances have been updated. Concerns remain regarding slow and inconsistent reporting to the CCG which is being addressed.

#### Q5 Inability to recruit GPs

Initial risk score of 20. Risk mitigation actions are in place, more robust systems interventions being developed.

### AO1 (Stakeholder engagement)

Risk controls and assurances have been updated. Risk Score remains the same. Discussions around HLHF and plans for new governance arrangements with the Council could lead to further reduction in risk score.

#### A03 (HLHF options)

Risk controls and assurances have been updated. Despite significant actions and scrutiny this risk level remains the same and the highest rated risk currently on the Assurance Framework

# F1 (Financial challenge)

Risk controls and assurances have been updated. Despite significant actions and scrutiny this risk score remains the same.

5/4/16