

Date:	10 <sup>th</sup> August 2017				
Meeting:	CCG Governing Body				
Item Number:	Item 10.8				
Public/Private:	Public X Private				

Author: (Name, Title)	Janice Keilthy Chair and Lay Member PPI
GB Lead: (Name, Title)	Ian Holborn Chief Finance Officer
Director approval/signature (MUST BE SIGNED)	Julie
Date:	

Report Title:	
Finance and Performance Meet	ing
1 <sup>st</sup> August 2017	Michigan volume in the
<sup>t</sup> August 2017	
Decisions to be made:	

**To Note**: This meeting was not quorate, however it took place as there were no decisions to be made on

this occasion which demanded quoracy.

The meeting provided demonstrable oversight of finance and performance to all and allowed for strong challenge from the 3 lay members present, and the finance team and their actions were held to account on all submitted activity.

Continue to improve the quality of services	x□	Improve patient experience			
Reduced unwarranted variations in services		Reduce the inequalities gap in North Lincolnshire			
Deliver the best outcomes for every patient		Statutory/Regulatory			

## **Executive Summary (Question, Options, Recommendations):**

The main issues discussed and submitted for comment were as follows:

- The meeting was not quorate as the timings were not appropriate for a number of members notably
  the two GP members. Each GP and other members have submitted a preferred option which will be
  determined for the next meeting.
- 2. The Financial Full Year Outturn was discussed in fine detail. There was challenge on the position at month 2/3 as there is evidence that the CCG is missing the projected targets. This will form a focus at future meetings. Much excellent work is underway with NLaG and NELCCG to review savings opportunities and alignment of working practices.
- 3. The Financial QIPP and Recovery Update were reviewed. Much discussion and challenge took place relating to the over activity at the Spire and St Hugh's. CCG Finance will update the meeting at M4 for the risk position for delivery of budget, particularly relating to Continuing Health Care and Prescribing and their financial impact for the CCG.
- 4. The Financial Review from Price Waterhouse Coopers was discussed but it was noted that this document is dated and there has been significant action since it was first received. The report states that the CCG lacks capacity and capability to deliver savings and while it was acknowledged that this is still partly the case, much training and up skilling has started to deliver results and further progress is required.
- 5. Similarly the NHS Commissioning Support QIPP Programme Report (Deloitte's report) was discussed and challenged vigorously. Much discussion ensued around the need for more transformational activity rather than focus on transactional results. Again this report is dated and strong activity is producing some change.
- 6. The Financial Governance Operational Scheme of Delegation which had previously been to Audit was received and the significant progress from previous systems acknowledged.
- 7. The Finance and Performance Risk Register was challenged for lack of high risk activity and this will be closely scrutinised for stronger activity at the next meeting.
- 8. The Community Services Design Process which is still in draft form was acknowledged for its good progress at achieving clarity in the potential configuration of services for the future.
- 9. Similarly the Secondary Care Contract Review was reviewed and discussed.

10. Two reports for Corporate and Operating performance were received and how underperformance is being addressed. This report has previously been received at Engine Room. There is clearly focus on improvement and the group will receive updates and progress reports at the next meeting.										
At the end of the meeting the group reviewed the achievement of purpose of the Finance and Performance Group. It was acknowledged that the meeting held the Finance, Contracting and Performance teams to strong account and in view of the clear oversight delivered through papers submitted and response to challenge, the lay members were satisfied that much excellent work is being undertaken by the Directors and their teams which is resulting in progress being accomplished.										
which is resulting in	progres	s being a	accompi	isrieu.					-	
									_	
									al a	
-										
Equality Impact	Yes	□ N	о□х						-	
Sustainability	Vos	□ x 1	Jo 🗆							
Sustamability	163	· L X I	<b>VO</b>							
Risk	Yes	□ x 1	No 🗆							
Legal	VAS						-			
<b>L</b> egar	Yes □ x No □									
Finance	Yes □x No □					=			-	
Patient, Public, Clinical and Stakeholder Engagement to date										
Patient:	N/A □x	Y	N	Date	Clinical:	<i>N/A</i> □x	Υ	N	Date	
Public:					Other:	x				