


| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Date: | 21 st June 2018 |
| Meeting: | Governing Body |
| Item Number: | Item 10.1 |
| Public/Private: | Public <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Private <input type="checkbox"/> |

| | |
|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Report Title: | CCG Programme Briefs |
| Decisions to be made: | For approval |

| | |
|---|---|
| Author: <i>(Name, Title)</i> | Jane Ellerton, Head of Strategic Commissioning |
| GB Lead: <i>(Name, Title)</i> | Julie Warren, Chief Operating Officer |
| Director approval <i>(name)</i> | Julie Warren, Chief Operating Officer |
| Director Signature |  |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Continue to improve the quality of services | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Improve patient experience | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Reduced unwarranted variations in services | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Reduce the inequalities gap in North Lincolnshire | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Deliver the best outcomes for every patient | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Statutory/Regulatory | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Purpose (tick one only) | Approval <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Information <input type="checkbox"/> | To note <input type="checkbox"/> | Decision <input type="checkbox"/> |

| Executive Summary (Question, Options, Recommendations): | |
|--|----------------------------|
| <p>NL CCG agreed its strategic priorities for 2018/19 in March 2018. These comprise six programmes; Prevention; Primary Care; Acute Commissioning; Out of Hospital Model; Vulnerable People and Medicines Optimisation. Each programme has an identified clinical and managerial lead and programme briefs have been developed for these programmes. A number of these programmes have been further broken down to sub-programme level as set out below.</p> | |
| Programme | Sub-programmes |
| Acute Commissioning | Planned care |
| | Unplanned care |
| Primary Care | Estates and Transformation |
| | Investment |
| | Local Digital Roadmap |
| | Recruitment and Retention |

| | |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Sustainability |
| | Out of Hospital |
| Vulnerable People | Continuing Healthcare |
| | Mental Health and Learning Disability |
| Medicines Management | Medicines Optimisation |

The brief for the Prevention programme will be finalised once the GP lead for prevention is in post. Delivery of these programmes is monitored by the Programme Delivery Board which meets monthly.

Each programme will be required to identify the quality and financial benefits. At this stage, financial savings, where applicable are described at programme level, however these will be further articulated and profiled within project level plans. This delivery will also be monitored via the Programme Delivery Group.

| | | |
|------------------------|--|--|
| Recommendations | 1. Approval of programme briefs 2. Note that the monitoring of programme delivery is via the Programme Delivery Board | |
| Report history | | |
| Equality Impact | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Sustainability | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Risk | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Legal | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Finance | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

| Patient, Public, Clinical and Stakeholder Engagement to date | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | <i>N/A</i> | <i>Y</i> | <i>N</i> | <i>Date</i> | | <i>N/A</i> | <i>Y</i> | <i>N</i> | <i>Date</i> |
| Patient: | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | Clinical: | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Public: | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | Other: | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|
| PROGRAMME NAME | Acute Commissioning | PROJECT NAME : | Planned | PROJECT TYPE | | EXPECTED BUSINESS CASE SIZE (S/M/L) |
| PROJECT LEAD | Rebecca Bowen | CLINICAL LEAD : | Satpal Shekhawat | EXECUTIVE SPONSOR | Richard Young | Business cases will be developed at Project Level |

A) STRATEGIC CASE & SUMMARY BUSINESS RATIONALE

| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | MAIN EVIDENCE BASE | MAIN INTERDEPENDENCIES / WIDER IMPACT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|-----|---------------------|-----------------|------------|--|--------------|-----|---------------|--|-----------------|-----|---|----|---|----|---|----|--|----|--|----|--|----|--|
| The acute; planned care programme includes a number of workstreams which together will support delivery of the CCG's commissioning intentions and QIPP savings. These workstreams also feature in the NLaG contract programme plan which will support delivery of the desired contract value. All workstreams will ensure that services are safe, efficient, fit for purpose and of high quality. The workstreams are as follows: - Gastroenterology - Demand Management impact on acute to include: - ERS & A&G - Diabetes - Respiratory - Cardiology - MSK - Community Diagnostics - Community Gynae +/- Urology - Daycase to Outpatient - OP follow up - Dermatology - Capacity planning/ RTT (including opportunities within Independent Sector) - Cancer waits and re-design - Non - Emergency Patient Transport (NEPT) - Ophthalmology - Neurology - Pathology pricing review - High Cost Drug review - Goole NRC review - Implications of the Grant Thornton review and Utilisation Management audit. | 1) NHS 5 Year Forward View 2) Humber Coast and Vale STP (Mar 2018) Outpatient's Programme 3) NHS E High Impact Change Model - MSK Triage (2017) 4) Humber Acute Services Review 5) GIRFT - Getting it right first time. 6) Rightcare 7) Cancer Strategy Implementation Plan | Acute Commissioning; Unplanned Programme Out of Hospital Programme meds Management programme Humber Acute Services Review Planned Care Transformation Boards Planned Care Board STP programme Place Based Plan Vulnerable People Programme Primary Care Programme Demand Management Strategy NLaG Contract Programme and Contract Transformation Board. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OUTLINE OF EXPECTED BENEFITS (TO BE INVESTIGATED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE) | FIT WITH THE CCG'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES | MAIN ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. To ensure that patients can access high quality acute planned care services in a timely manner. 2. To ensure that all referrals are in line with local policies and procedures, reducing variation. 3. Capacity is aligned with levels of appropriate demand. 4. Better outcomes for patients 5. Services commissioned are value for money. 6. Commissioned services are aligned with national and regional workstreams 7. A system wide, shared single version of the truth regarding the NLaG contract. | <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Out of Hospital</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Acute Commissioning</td> <td>Yes - Unplanned</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Prevention</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Care</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mental Health</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Meds Management</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> </table> | Out of Hospital | Yes | Acute Commissioning | Yes - Unplanned | Prevention | | Primary Care | Yes | Mental Health | | Meds Management | Yes | <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1)</td> <td>That there is sufficient capacity in place to deliver the projects within the programme</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2)</td> <td>That there is sufficient provider workforce to deliver the services</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3)</td> <td>That the NLaG contract programme will be delivered</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4)</td> <td>That Primary care and Acute will engage in and support delivery of the demand management workstreams</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5)</td> <td>That the trust will reduce capacity in line with any reducing demands. No unplanned/ not agreed catch up in RTT (otherwise financial risk)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6)</td> <td>That the NLaG contract will run at PbR for 18/19</td> </tr> </table> | 1) | That there is sufficient capacity in place to deliver the projects within the programme | 2) | That there is sufficient provider workforce to deliver the services | 3) | That the NLaG contract programme will be delivered | 4) | That Primary care and Acute will engage in and support delivery of the demand management workstreams | 5) | That the trust will reduce capacity in line with any reducing demands. No unplanned/ not agreed catch up in RTT (otherwise financial risk) | 6) | That the NLaG contract will run at PbR for 18/19 |
| Out of Hospital | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acute Commissioning | Yes - Unplanned | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prevention | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Care | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mental Health | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meds Management | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) | That there is sufficient capacity in place to deliver the projects within the programme | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) | That there is sufficient provider workforce to deliver the services | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3) | That the NLaG contract programme will be delivered | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4) | That Primary care and Acute will engage in and support delivery of the demand management workstreams | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5) | That the trust will reduce capacity in line with any reducing demands. No unplanned/ not agreed catch up in RTT (otherwise financial risk) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6) | That the NLaG contract will run at PbR for 18/19 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

B) MANAGEMENT CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| SUMMARY OF KEY STAKEHOLDERS | EXPECTED RESOURCE TO DELIVER THE PROJECT (Commissioning, Contracting, Finance, Engagement etc). PLEASE DISTINGUISH BETWEEN IMPLEMENTATION & ONGOING REQUIREMENTS | HIGH MILESTONES TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT (The Critical Path Only) | TARGET DATES | INITIAL RAG RATING |
|---|--|--|--------------|--------------------|
| NLaG (and other acute providers) | Commissioning | Develop NLaG Contract Programme Plan for delivery | 20/04/2018 | |
| NEL CCG (and other local commissioners) | Contracting | Initial project PIDs developed for planned care workstreams | 01-Jun-18 | |
| Primary Care- General Practice | Finance | PTS procurement complete | 06-Mar-19 | |
| Independent Sector including Virgin | Comms and Engagement | Electronic referrals Fully rolled out | 01-Oct-18 | |
| STP | Business Intelligence | NLaG Contract CVs in place for DC-OP (backdated) and for acute assessment services | 01-Jul-18 | |
| Cancer Alliance | Quality & Governance | NLaG contract capacity plan agreed | 04-May-18 | |
| NHS E & NHS I | Primary Care | Advice and Guidance in place across all agreed specialities (possibly be phased) | 31-Mar-19 | |
| Public and Patients | | Ophthalmology Review Complete | 01-Aug-18 | |
| Meds Management | | Goole NRC revised specification with agreed approval processes in place and CVd into contract with new local tariffs | 01-Jul-18 | |

C) FINANCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| ESTIMATED SAVINGS (Gross) | | | |
|---|---------|-------|----------|
| Key savings | In Year | FYE | Comments |
| | £'000 | £'000 | |
| Recurrent net savings: £594,000 | | | |
| 2018/19 net savings £297,000 | | | |
| To be developed further | | | |
| Planned care QIPP targets for 18/19 = £4.1m | | | |
| Overall NLaG contract gap currently £7.4m | | | |

| ESTIMATED SUMMARY COSTS (GROSS) | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------|--|
| Capital Costs | In Year | FYE | Comments (e.g. Capital Expenditure Funding Availability) |
| | £'000 | £'000 | |
| Total Capital Costs | £ | £ | |
| Revenue costs: | In Year | FYE | Comments |
| | £'000 | £'000 | |
| Total Revenue Costs | £ | £ | |

Total Project Savings £ 594,000 £ 297,000

Total Project Costs £ - £ -

Net Position [Cost / (Savings)] £ - £ -

D) COMMERCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| PROPOSED PROCUREMENT STRATEGY | EXPECTED CONTRACTUAL ISSUES | KEY DATES | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----|
| | | START | END |
| | | | |

| NET PROJECT COST / SAVINGS £'000s | In Year | FYE |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | £ 594,000 | £ 297,000 |

Sign off

| Executive Sponsor (Electronic Sign off) | Clinical Lead (Electronic Sign Off) | Project Lead | Business Case approved For Development - Target Completion Dates |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---|
| PROGRAMME NAME | Acute Commissioning | PROJECT NAME : | Unplanned | PROJECT TYPE | | EXPECTED BUSINESS CASE SIZE (S/M/L) |
| PROJECT LEAD | Rebecca Bowen | CLINICAL LEAD : | Neveen Samuel | EXECUTIVE SPONSOR | Richard Young | Business cases will be developed at project level |

A) STRATEGIC CASE & SUMMARY BUSINESS RATIONALE

| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | MAIN EVIDENCE BASE | MAIN INTERDEPENDENCIES / WIDER IMPACT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----|--|---------------------|---------------|--|------------|-----|--|--------------|-----|--|---------------|-----|--|-----------------|--|--|--|----|--|--|----|--|--|----|---|--|----|--|--|----|---|--|----|---|--|
| The acute; unplanned care programme includes a number of workstreams which together will support delivery of the CCGs commissioning intentions and QIPP savings. This will also include development and implementation of the CCG's Unplanned Care vision. Some of these workstreams also feature in the NLaG contract programme plan which will support delivery of the desired contract value. All workstreams will ensure that services are safe, efficient, fit for purpose and of high quality. The workstreams are as follows: - Urgent Treatment Centre (including existing A&E, SPA, GPOOHs, PC Streaming, Extended Access & local CAS) - IUC (including 111 and core CAS) - Winter - D2A and Trusted Assessor - Acute Assessment and Management (AEC & Frailty) - Implications of the Grant Thornton review and Utilisation Management audit. | 1) NHS 5 Year Forward View 2) Integrated Urgent Care national specification 3) Urgent Treatment Centre standards 4) Humber Acute Services Review 5) GIRFT - Getting it right first time. 6) National Ambulance Response Programme (ARP) | Acute Commissioning; Planned Programme (incl PTS) Out of Hospital Programme (incl NL BCF) Meds Management programme Humber Acute Services Review A&E Delivery Board STP programme - Place Based Plan Vulnerable People Programme Primary Care Programme NLaG Contract Programme and Contract Transformation Board. Urgent and Emergency Care Network. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OUTLINE OF EXPECTED BENEFITS (TO BE INVESTIGATED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE) | FIT WITH THE CCG'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES | MAIN ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. To ensure that patients can access high quality unplanned care services in a timely manner, appropriate to their clinical need 2. Capacity is aligned with levels of appropriate demand. 3. Better outcomes for patients 4. Services commissioned are value for money. 5. Commissioned services are aligned with national and regional workstreams 6. Services that provide appropriate advice to patients with an Unplanned need, in a joined up manner meaning that patient only tell their story once. | <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">Out of Hospital</td> <td style="width: 30%; text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td style="width: 40%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Acute Commissioning</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes - planned</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Prevention</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Care</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mental Health</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Meds Management</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> | Out of Hospital | Yes | | Acute Commissioning | Yes - planned | | Prevention | Yes | | Primary Care | Yes | | Mental Health | Yes | | Meds Management | | | <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 10%;">1)</td> <td style="width: 70%;">That NLaG will allow another provider on site to deliver the UTC</td> <td style="width: 20%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2)</td> <td>That providers will engage in year to start to deliver the std within the IUC spec and UTC model prior to full re-commission</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3)</td> <td>That there is sufficient capacity in Primary Care and Community Services to support delivery of a UTC</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4)</td> <td>That the UTC will include Primary Care Extended Access</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5)</td> <td>That the YAS 111 regional contract will be extended should the procurement not complete by April 19 to avoid any gap in service</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>6)</td> <td>That Local Authority and wider system partners will engage in development and delivery of the 18/19 winter plan</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> | 1) | That NLaG will allow another provider on site to deliver the UTC | | 2) | That providers will engage in year to start to deliver the std within the IUC spec and UTC model prior to full re-commission | | 3) | That there is sufficient capacity in Primary Care and Community Services to support delivery of a UTC | | 4) | That the UTC will include Primary Care Extended Access | | 5) | That the YAS 111 regional contract will be extended should the procurement not complete by April 19 to avoid any gap in service | | 6) | That Local Authority and wider system partners will engage in development and delivery of the 18/19 winter plan | |
| Out of Hospital | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acute Commissioning | Yes - planned | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prevention | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Care | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mental Health | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meds Management | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) | That NLaG will allow another provider on site to deliver the UTC | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) | That providers will engage in year to start to deliver the std within the IUC spec and UTC model prior to full re-commission | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3) | That there is sufficient capacity in Primary Care and Community Services to support delivery of a UTC | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4) | That the UTC will include Primary Care Extended Access | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5) | That the YAS 111 regional contract will be extended should the procurement not complete by April 19 to avoid any gap in service | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6) | That Local Authority and wider system partners will engage in development and delivery of the 18/19 winter plan | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

B) MANAGEMENT CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| SUMMARY OF KEY STAKEHOLDERS | EXPECTED RESOURCE TO DELIVER THE PROJECT (Commissioning, Contracting, Finance, Engagement etc). PLEASE DISTINGUISH BETWEEN IMPLEMENTATION & ONGOING REQUIREMENTS | HIGH MILESTONES TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT (The Critical Path Only) | TARGET DATES | INITIAL RAG RATING |
|--|--|--|--------------|--------------------|
| NLaG (and other acute providers) | Commissioning | Urgent treatment Centre in place | 01/04/2019 | On Track |
| NEL CCG (and other local commissioners) | Contracting | Acute assessment tariffs agreed and in place | 01-Jul-18 | On Track |
| Primary Care- General Practice | Finance | Winter capacity and demand plan submitted | 30-Apr-18 | On Track |
| EMAS & YAS (999 & 111) | Comms and Engagement | Regional IUC procurement complete and service in place | 01/04/2019 | At Risk |
| STP | Business Intelligence | D2A model finalised and in place for winter | 01-Oct-18 | On Track |
| NHS E & NHS I | Quality & Governance | NLaG Contract Programme Plan in place | 20-Apr-18 | On Track |
| RdaSH | Primary Care | Service specs in place for non PbR elements of service in NLaG | 01-Oct-18 | On Track |
| Public | Procurement | | | |
| NL Council | | | | |
| Other local providers, eg CCL and Safecare | | | | |

C) FINANCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| ESTIMATED SAVINGS (Gross) | | | |
|--|------------------|--------------|----------|
| Key savings | In Year £'000 | FYE £'000 | Comments |
| TBC - as QIPP not finalised but anticipated to be £2.7m in the NLaG contract. No clear understanding yet as to capital funding requirements for UTC. NLaG have already received £900k capital for PC Streaming which needs to be utilised for UTC instead. | | | |

| ESTIMATED SUMMARY COSTS (GROSS) | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------|--|
| Capital Costs | In Year £'000 | FYE £'000 | Comments (e.g. Capital Expenditure Funding Availability) |
| Total Capital Costs | £ | £ | |

| Revenue costs: | In Year £'000 | FYE £'000 | Comments |
|---------------------|------------------|--------------|----------|
| Total Revenue Costs | £ | £ | |

Total Project Savings £ - £ -

Total Project Costs £ - £ -

Net Position [Cost / (Savings)] £ - £ -

D) COMMERCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| PROPOSED PROCUREMENT STRATEGY |
|-------------------------------|
| |

| EXPECTED CONTRACTUAL ISSUES |
|-----------------------------|
| |

| KEY DATES | |
|-----------|-----|
| START | END |
| | |

| NET PROJECT COST / SAVINGS £'000s | In Year | FYE |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | £ - | £ - |

| Sign off | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Executive Sponsor (Electronic Sign off) | Clinical Lead (Electronic Sign Off) | Project Lead | Business Case approved For Development - Target Completion Dates |
| | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------|--|
| PROGRAMME NAME | Out of Hospital Programme Brief | PROJECT NAME : | Programme Brief | PROJECT TYPE | | EXPECTED BUSINESS CASE SIZE (S/M/L) |
| PROJECT LEAD | Jane Ellerton | CLINICAL LEAD : | Dr Baig | EXECUTIVE SPONSOR | Julie Warren | L |

A) STRATEGIC CASE & SUMMARY BUSINESS RATIONALE

| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | MAIN EVIDENCE BASE | MAIN INTERDEPENDENCIES / WIDER IMPACT | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|----------------------------|-----|-------------------|--|---------------------|--|----------------------|--|------------------------|--|--|
| <p>This Programme contributes to delivery of the CCG strategic priority for Out of Hospital, increasing provision of community based services and reducing the proportion of care delivered within the hospital setting. It delivers the 2018/19 priority of: defining a new community service model, reconfiguring existing services into care groups (covering prevention, detection and diagnosis, management and rehabilitation), addressing physical mental and social well-being and commissioning for outcomes. The programme has 6 key Projects</p> <p>1) Recommissioning of Community Services</p> <p>1.1. Development of business case and model for community based diagnostics 1.2. Development of business case and model for community based gynae, +/- urology</p> <p>2) Implementation and Review of BCF Plan</p> <p>3) Implement the MSK High Impact Elective Programme requirements</p> <p>4) TBD Implementation of community Cardiorespiratory service (prior to procurement)</p> <p>5) Implement Pathway Improvements across 3 areas 5.1 PSA Shared care; PSA monitoring (prostate cancer high value pathway) 5.2 DVT 5.3 GSF 5.4 SAFE model</p> <p>6) Review and refresh Intermediate care; future model 6.1 Step up/down provision</p> <p>(Note Weight Management Strategy to sit under prevention)</p> | <p>1) NHS 5 Year Forward view - Out-of-hospital care needs to become a much larger part of what the NHS does.</p> <p>2) NHS 7 Day Services - The NHS Services, Seven Days a Week Forum developed 10 clinical standards to end variations in outcomes at the weekend. These Priority Clinical Standards are: Standard 5: Access to Diagnostics</p> <p>3) Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (2011) ..Many investigational procedures such as hysteroscopy can be provided in...community setting, which improves access for women, increases efficiency and reduces cost.</p> <p>4) The Royal College of Surgeons (2013) Commissioning Guide Rectal Bleeding, Direct access flexible sigmoidoscopy services should be available to primary care. Direct Access Rectal Bleed Services...One stop clinics in... specialist community... may provide good value for patients..... One stop clinics should offer both investigation and treatment.</p> <p>5) Humber Coast and Vale STP (Mar 2018) Outpatient's Programme The ambition to deliver improvement to the Outpatient system is one of several focus areas across the HCV STP, with core objectives to reduce cost, activity, and improve patient experience at a time of rising demand and increasing cost pressures.</p> <p>6) NHS E High Impact Change Model - MSK Triage (2017)</p> <p>7) National programmes e.g. Right Care GIRFT</p> <p>The above policy review is not intended to be an exhaustive list.</p> | <p>Acute Hospital Programme (Planned and Unplanned)</p> <p>Humber Acute Review</p> <p>Planned Care Transformation Boards</p> <p>STP work / CCGs within the STP who are also undergoing reconfiguration</p> <p>Mental Health / Children's and Acute Commissioning Strategy</p> <p>Demand Management Strategy</p> <p>Place Based Plan</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OUTLINE OF EXPECTED BENEFITS (TO BE INVESTIGATED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE) | FIT WITH THE CCG'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES | MAIN ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>The main benefits to this programme are:</p> <p>1) A more simplified health system - with integrated pathways in a number of key areas 2) A reduced need for planned and unplanned hospital attendances (saving financial resource and freeing up hospital capacity) 3) Better / quicker access to health services for service users (both in community and secondary care) 4) More collaborative working across health and social care for the benefit of the system and service users</p> <p>Recommissioning of Community Services will have the following outcomes to achieve:</p> <p>1. There will be a more co-ordinated approach and people will have a clear point of contact and only have to tell their story once 2. Service users will receive access to high quality interventions that improve their health and well-being 3. Service users are kept well informed and involved in their care. 4. Service users have excellent access and are highly satisfied with services 5. People will feel able to self-manage and feel empowered to maintain or improve independence and feel an increased connection with the community 6. People living in care homes receive well-coordinated and proactive support, reducing the likelihood of their health deteriorating and care homes are supported 7. People are discharged from hospital in a timely, planned manner, with the right level of support in place 8. Reduced A&E/avoidable unplanned hospital admissions through delivery of community based care 9. Stakeholders have excellent access and high satisfaction with services 10. A reduced number of residents being admitted to care homes</p> | <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Out of Hospital</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Acute Commissioning</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Prevention</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Care</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mental Health</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Meds Management</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> | Out of Hospital | Yes | Acute Commissioning | Yes | Prevention | | Primary Care | | Mental Health | | Meds Management | | <p>1) Activity will divert from Secondary Care to Community and so finance will follow (updated 14/2)</p> <p>2) NLAG will become part of a bigger Acute model/provider in 3-5 years and will focus on Acute work (updated 14/2)</p> <p>3) MCP / one provider is the future route . ACP terminology to cease (updated 14/2)</p> <p>4) Primary Care delivery will focus on core GMS/APMS and so everything else needs be considered as part of community. (updated 14/2)</p> <p>5) Integrated Commissioning with NLC will continue (BCF / Intermediate Care)</p> <p>6) community based care will cost no more than hospital based care and provide better quality of service</p> |
| Out of Hospital | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acute Commissioning | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prevention | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Care | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mental Health | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meds Management | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

B) MANAGEMENT CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| SUMMARY OF KEY STAKEHOLDERS | EXPECTED RESOURCE TO DELIVER THE PROJECT (Commissioning, Contracting, Finance, Engagement etc). PLEASE DISTINGUISH BETWEEN IMPLEMENTATION & ONGOING REQUIREMENTS | HIGH MILESTONES TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT (The Critical Path Only) | TARGET DATES | INITIAL RAG RATING |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|--------------------|
| P&CC / CoM | Commissioning | Community Model and Specs Sign Off | 31/03/18 | Red |
| NLC | Quality | Recast community services project plan with revised timescales | 04/05/18 | |
| NLAG (Acute & Community) | Business Intelligence | Create Execs high level options paper for MSK compliance | 11/05/18 | |
| Service Users | Finance | Community Services Stakeholder engagement conducted | 30/05/18 | |
| Independent Community Providers | Primary Care | Community Services Procurement launch | 15/06/18 | |
| NHS England | Contracting | Report taken to P&CC on Community Diagnostics | 20/06/18 | |
| Primary Care | Community Services Project Team | Report taken to P&CC on Community Gynae / Urology / Gastro | 20/06/18 | |
| | Meds Management | Report taken to P&CC on recommendations for MSK | 20/06/18 | |
| | Procurement | Report with recommendations on future of BCF to Execs | 30/06/18 | |
| | | Implement MSK recommendations / Promote New Pathways | 01/07/18 | |
| | | Interim community cardiorespiratory service in place | 30/7/18 | |
| | | Development of new BCF plan | 31/08/18 | |
| | | Sign off of new BCF plan | 30/09/18 | |
| | | Community Services Procurement End | 31/10/18 | |
| | | Community Services Contract Award | 08/11/18 | |
| | | Community Services Contract Live Date | 01/04/19 | |

C) FINANCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| ESTIMATED SAVINGS (Gross) | | | |
|--|---------|-------|----------|
| Key savings | In Year | FYE | Comments |
| | £'000 | £'000 | |
| Aiming for a c.6% saving on Community Budget (c.£1m) and 10-15% saving on hospital acute activity (c. £250K) | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Total Project Savings | £ | - | £ | - |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|

| ESTIMATED SUMMARY COSTS (GROSS) | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------|--|
| Capital Costs | In Year | FYE | Comments (e.g. Capital Expenditure Funding Availability) |
| | £'000 | £'000 | |
| Total Capital Costs | £ | £ | |

| REVENUE COSTS | | | |
|----------------------------|---------|-------|----------|
| Revenue costs: | In Year | FYE | Comments |
| | £'000 | £'000 | |
| Total Revenue Costs | £ | £ | |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Total Project Costs | £ | - | £ | - |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Net Position [Cost / (Savings)] | £ | - | £ | - |
|--|---|---|---|---|

D) COMMERCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| PROPOSED PROCUREMENT STRATEGY |
|---|
| Community contract: Mixed approach based on care group; Competitive Procurement with Negotiation/ Potential transfer to NLC/ open procurement |

| EXPECTED CONTRACTUAL ISSUES |
|-----------------------------|
| |

| KEY DATES | |
|-----------|-----|
| START | END |
| | |

| | | |
|--|----------------|------------|
| NET PROJECT COST / SAVINGS £'000s | In Year | FYE |
| | £ | £ |

| Sign off | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Executive Sponsor (Electronic Sign off) | Clinical Lead (Electronic Sign Off) | Project Lead | Business Case approved For Development - Target Completion Dates |
| | | | |

PROJECT BRIEF: 2018/19

MANDATORY COMPLETION - FOR APPROVAL TO PRODUCE BUSINESS CASE.

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--|
| PROGRAMME NAME | PC Network Sustainability | PROJECT NAME : | Network Sustainability | PROJECT TYPE | | EXPECTED BUSINESS CASE SIZE (S/M/L) |
| PROJECT LEAD | Erica Ellerington | CLINICAL LEAD : | Dr S Modan | EXECUTIVE SPONSOR | Geoff Day | N/A |

A) STRATEGIC CASE & SUMMARY BUSINESS RATIONALE

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|-----|---------------------|-----|------------|-----|--------------|-----|---------------|--|-----------------|--|--|
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | MAIN EVIDENCE BASE | MAIN INTERDEPENDENCIES / WIDER IMPACT | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Key enabler to the development of locally based commissioning and working at scale is the delivery of. Developing PC networks will provide a framework to secure a sustainable model of PC and enable locality based commissioning. In line with NHS Operational Planning guidance delivery of Extended Access to general practice will be in two phases: Commissioning of interim service for extended access - Q3 2018/19 Commissioning of permanent model for extended access - Q4 2018/19. | NHS Operational Planning guidance GPFV as supported by NL CCG Patient Consultation 2017 | The development of the Urgent Treatment Centre Outcome of full procurement GP OOH e-consult | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OUTLINE OF EXPECTED BENEFITS (TO BE INVESTIGATED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE) | FIT WITH THE CCG'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES | MAIN ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Improved access and increased choice for patients to PC Better use of clinical capacity. Reduction in unplanned activity. Reduced pressure on general practice (enhanced staff retention) Compliance with national directives. | <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Out of Hospital</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Acute Commissioning</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Prevention</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Care</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mental Health</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Meds Management</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> | Out of Hospital | Yes | Acute Commissioning | Yes | Prevention | Yes | Primary Care | Yes | Mental Health | | Meds Management | | 1) increase in unplanned activity out of hospital 2) reduction in unplanned activity in hospital. 3) Improves access to LTC clinics out of hours 4) Builds capacity and enhances patient access 5) 6) |
| Out of Hospital | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acute Commissioning | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prevention | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Care | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mental Health | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meds Management | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

B) MANAGEMENT CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---------------------------|
| SUMMARY OF KEY STAKEHOLDERS | EXPECTED RESOURCE TO DELIVER THE PROJECT (Commissioning, Contracting, Finance, Engagement etc.). PLEASE DISTINGUISH BETWEEN IMPLEMENTATION & ONGOING REQUIREMENTS | HIGH MILESTONES TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT (The Critical Path Only) | TARGET DATES | INITIAL RAG RATING |
| General Practice - Clinicians, Practice Managers etc, Patients Partner organisations e.g. community services / NLaG NHSE eMBED | Finance - implementation and ongoing; Engagement - Implementation and Ongoing; Commissioning - Implementation and Ongoing; Quality - Implementation and Ongoing; IM&T - implementation and ongoing; Contracting - implementation and ongoing; Procurement - implementation; | Extended access interim contract Extended access full contract PC sustainability plan | Q3 2018/19 Q4 2018/19 Q4 2018/19 | |

C) FINANCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| ESTIMATED SAVINGS (Gross) | | | |
|--|------------------|--------------|----------|
| Key savings | In Year £'000 | FYE £'000 | Comments |
| £3.34 per weighted population for interim contract - £630,936 PM Development monies - £4500.00 Resilience monies - £3,000.00 | | | |

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---------|---|---|
| Total Project Savings | £ | 638,436 | £ | - |
|------------------------------|---|---------|---|---|

| ESTIMATED SUMMARY COSTS (GROSS) | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------|--|
| Capital Costs | In Year £'000 | FYE £'000 | Comments (e.g. Capital Expenditure Funding Availability) |
| Total Capital Costs | £ | £ | |
| Revenue costs: | In Year £'000 | FYE £'000 | Comments |
| Total Revenue Costs | | £ | |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|---------|---|---|
| Total Project Costs | £ | 638,436 | £ | - |
|----------------------------|---|---------|---|---|

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|---------|
| Net Position [Cost /(Savings)] | £ | - | £ | 638,436 |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|---------|

D) COMMERCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| PROPOSED PROCUREMENT STRATEGY |
|--|
| Interim contract - VEAT notice Permanent contract - full market procurement |

| EXPECTED CONTRACTUAL ISSUES |
|---|
| Understanding of implications of achieving a single contract model from APMS and National Standard Contract |

| KEY DATES | |
|------------|------------|
| START | END |
| 01/04/2018 | 31/03/2019 |

| NET PROJECT COST / SAVINGS £'000s | In Year | FYE |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----|
| | £ 638,436 | £ - |

Sign off

| Executive Sponsor (Electronic Sign off) | Clinical Lead (Electronic Sign Off) | Project Lead | Business Case approved For Development - Target Completion Dates |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|
| PROGRAMME NAME | Estates and Technology | PROJECT NAME : | | PROJECT TYPE | | EXPECTED BUSINESS CASE SIZE (S/M/L) |
| PROJECT LEAD | Chris Clarke | CLINICAL LEAD : | Dr Salim Modan | EXECUTIVE SPONSOR | Geoff Day | |

A) STRATEGIC CASE & SUMMARY BUSINESS RATIONALE

| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | MAIN EVIDENCE BASE | MAIN INTERDEPENDENCIES / WIDER IMPACT |
|--|---|--|
| Developing an estates strategy for primary medical care in North Lincolnshire to enable the prioritisation of schemes to be developed. To ensure premises are fit for purpose and used appropriately, which delivers value for money. Supports the delivery of the primary care strategy | CCG Estates strategy | CCG service strategies: Primary care Out of Hospital Financial Planning LA development proposals |
| OUTLINE OF EXPECTED BENEFITS (TO BE INVESTIGATED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE) | FIT WITH THE CCG'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES | MAIN ASSUMPTIONS |
| Flexible and fit for purpose premises that enable delivery of the CCG service strategies The ability to prioritise schemes for development - investment of monies | Out of Hospital | Yes 1) Gives intelligence around capacity and flexibility to deliver services across the health and care system |
| | Acute Commissioning | Yes 2) Gives intelligence around capacity and flexibility to deliver services across the health and care system |
| | Prevention | Yes 3) Gives intelligence around capacity and flexibility to deliver services across the health and care system |
| | Primary Care | Yes 4) Gives intelligence around capacity and flexibility to deliver services across the health and care system |
| | Mental Health | Yes 5) Gives intelligence around capacity and flexibility to deliver services across the health and care system |
| | Meds Management | 6) |

B) MANAGEMENT CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| SUMMARY OF KEY STAKEHOLDERS | EXPECTED RESOURCE TO DELIVER THE PROJECT (Commissioning, Contracting, Finance, Engagement etc). PLEASE DISTINGUISH BETWEEN IMPLEMENTATION & ONGOING REQUIREMENTS | HIGH MILESTONES TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT (The Critical Path Only) | TARGET DATES | INITIAL RAG RATING |
|---|--|--|--------------|--------------------|
| General Practice NHS property Services NHS England 3rd party Developers Patients and Public | GP practices - Implementation LA planning -Ongoing Finance - implementation Primary care directorate - Implementation | Strategic review primary care estate | Jul-18 | |

C) FINANCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| ESTIMATED SAVINGS (Gross) | | | |
|---------------------------|---------|-------|----------|
| Key savings | In Year | FYE | Comments |
| | £'000 | £'000 | |
| No saving identified | | | |

| ESTIMATED SUMMARY COSTS (GROSS) | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------|--|
| Capital Costs | In Year | FYE | Comments (e.g. Capital Expenditure Funding Availability) |
| | £'000 | £'000 | |
| Total Capital Costs | £ | £ | |
| Revenue costs: | | | |
| Total Revenue Costs | In Year | FYE | Comments |
| | £'000 | £'000 | |
| Total Revenue Costs | £ | £ | NHSE transformation funding has been sourced to deliver the strategy |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Total Project Savings | £ | - | £ | - |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|

| | | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Total Project Costs | £ | - | £ | - |
|---------------------|---|---|---|---|

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Net Position [Cost / (Savings)] | £ | - | £ | - |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|

D) COMMERCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| PROPOSED PROCUREMENT STRATEGY |
|-------------------------------|
| Not relevant at this stage |

| EXPECTED CONTRACTUAL ISSUES |
|-----------------------------|
| None |

| KEY DATES | |
|-----------|--------|
| START | END |
| Apr-18 | Mar-19 |

| NET PROJECT COST / SAVINGS £'000s | In Year | FYE |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-----|
| | £ | £ |

Sign off

| Executive Sponsor (Electronic Sign off) | Clinical Lead (Electronic Sign Off) | Project Lead | Business Case approved For Development - Target Completion Dates |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| | | | |

PROJECT BRIEF: 2018/19

MANDATORY COMPLETION - FOR APPROVAL TO PRODUCE BUSINESS CASE.

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|--------------------------|-----------|--|
| PROGRAMME NAME | PC Investment | PROJECT NAME : | Investment | PROJECT TYPE | Geoff Day | EXPECTED BUSINESS CASE SIZE (S/M/L) |
| PROJECT LEAD | Helen Phillips / Erica Ellerington | CLINICAL LEAD : | Dr S Modan | EXECUTIVE SPONSOR | | N/A |

A) STRATEGIC CASE & SUMMARY BUSINESS RATIONALE

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION Recognising the pressures being faced by PC and the opportunities afforded by the investment set out in the GP forward view, this project aims to maximise the use of those funds to deliver a transformed and sustainable PC and improve access. Below the key elements of the programme are outlined: 1 £3 per head transformational fund 2 PMS reinvestment 3 resilience funding | MAIN EVIDENCE BASE GPFV Soft intelligence from GP access survey and other sources. | MAIN INTERDEPENDENCIES / WIDER IMPACT Recruitment and retention Engagement from practices around the transformation agenda Development of care networks Development of out of hospital programme (reliant upon a list size, sustainable, at scale PC service) |
| OUTLINE OF EXPECTED BENEFITS (TO BE INVESTIGATED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE) Greater clinical job satisfaction Improved recruitment and retention Improved and extended access for patients Sustainable PC fit for the future Better outcomes for patients | FIT WITH THE CCG'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES | MAIN ASSUMPTIONS |
| | Out of Hospital Yes | 1) Development of out of hospital programme (reliant upon a list size, sustainable, at scale PC service) |
| | Acute Commissioning | 2) |
| | Prevention | 3) |
| | Primary Care Yes | 4) In line with GPFV investment plans |
| | Mental Health | 5) |
| | Meds Management | 6) |

B) MANAGEMENT CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| SUMMARY OF KEY STAKEHOLDERS | EXPECTED RESOURCE TO DELIVER THE PROJECT (Commissioning, Contracting, Finance, Engagement etc.). PLEASE DISTINGUISH BETWEEN IMPLEMENTATION & ONGOING REQUIREMENTS | HIGH MILESTONES TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT (The Critical Path Only) | TARGET DATES | INITIAL RAG RATING |
|---|---|--|--------------|--------------------|
| General Practice | Finance - Implementation; Engagement - Ongoing; Commissioning - Ongoing; Quality - Ongoing; IM&T - ongoing; | £3 per head | Q4 2019/20 | |
| Patients | | PMS reinvestment | Q4 2018/19 | |
| Partner organisations e.g. community services | | Resilience funding | Q3 2018/19 | |
| NHSE | | Online consultation | Q4 2018/19 | |
| | | Prescribing course | Q2 2018/19 | |

C) FINANCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| ESTIMATED SAVINGS (Gross) | | | |
|--|---------|-------|----------|
| Key savings | In Year | FYE | Comments |
| | £'000 | £'000 | |
| PMS premium reinvestment £123k 17/18 and 18/19 | | | |
| Resilience funding - TBC | | | |
| Online consultation - £49,224.45 2018/19 | | | |
| Prescribing course - TBC | | | |

Total Project Savings £ 172,224 £ -

| ESTIMATED SUMMARY COSTS (GROSS) | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------|--|
| Capital Costs | In Year | FYE | Comments (e.g. Capital Expenditure Funding Availability) |
| | £'000 | £'000 | |
| Total Capital Costs | £ | £ | |

| Revenue costs: | In Year | FYE | Comments |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-------|----------|
| | £'000 | £'000 | |
| Total Revenue Costs | £ 172,224 | £ | |

Total Project Costs £ 172,224 £ -

Net Position [Cost /(Savings)] £ - £ 172,224

D) COMMERCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| |
|---|
| PROPOSED PROCUREMENT STRATEGY Direct investment into PC aligned to the GPFV in the main does not require procurement. The exception in this programme has been the online consulting programme where an STP wide procurement has been undertaken. |
|---|

| |
|---|
| EXPECTED CONTRACTUAL ISSUES N/A |
|---|

| KEY DATES | |
|-----------|------------|
| START | END |
| 1/4/2018 | 31/03/2020 |

| | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------|
| NET PROJECT COST / SAVINGS £'000s | In Year | FYE |
| | £ 172,224 | £ - |

| | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|---|
| Sign off | | | |
| Executive Sponsor (Electronic Sign off) | Clinical Lead (Electronic Sign Off) | Project Lead | Business Case approved For Development - Target Completion Dates |
| | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------|--|
| PROGRAMME NAME | Local Digital Roadmap (primary care) | PROJECT NAME : | | PROJECT TYPE | | EXPECTED BUSINESS CASE SIZE (S/M/L) |
| PROJECT LEAD | John Mitchell | CLINICAL LEAD : | Dr Salim Modan | EXECUTIVE SPONSOR | Geoff Day | |

A) STRATEGIC CASE & SUMMARY BUSINESS RATIONALE

| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | MAIN EVIDENCE BASE | MAIN INTERDEPENDENCIES / WIDER IMPACT | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|-----|---------------------|-----|------------|-----|--------------|-----|---------------|-----|-----------------|-----|--|
| A commitment to make best use of information technology to improve patient access and make best use of clinical capacity. This work is broadly split into these key elements: e consult Electronic referral e discharge Patient online GP connect Enhanced Summary Care Record | National directive - link with John. | Implementation of GP forward view, out of hospital care and the care networks eMBED delivery board LDR work on integrated records | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OUTLINE OF EXPECTED BENEFITS (TO BE INVESTIGATED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE) | FIT WITH THE CCG'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES | MAIN ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TBC | <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Out of Hospital</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Acute Commissioning</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Prevention</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Care</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mental Health</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Meds Management</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> </table> | Out of Hospital | Yes | Acute Commissioning | Yes | Prevention | Yes | Primary Care | Yes | Mental Health | Yes | Meds Management | Yes | 1) This programme will enable sharing of patient information to aid decision making and make most appropriate use of clinical time. E consult will support people to more effectively access appropriate health and care services. 2) This programme will enable sharing of patient information to aid decision making and make most appropriate use of clinical time. 3) This programme will enable sharing of patient information to aid decision making and make most appropriate use of clinical time. E consult will support people to more effectively access appropriate health and care services. 4) This programme will enable sharing of patient information to aid decision making and make most appropriate use of clinical time. E consult will support people to more effectively access appropriate health and care services. 5) This programme will enable sharing of patient information to aid decision making and make most appropriate use of clinical time. E consult will support people to more effectively access appropriate health and care services. 6) This programme will enable sharing of patient information to aid decision making and make most appropriate use of clinical time. Clinicians will have improved ability to make decisions around appropriate prescribing, with access to the full patient record. |
| Out of Hospital | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acute Commissioning | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prevention | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Care | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mental Health | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meds Management | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

B) MANAGEMENT CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| SUMMARY OF KEY STAKEHOLDERS | EXPECTED RESOURCE TO DELIVER THE PROJECT (Commissioning, Contracting, Finance, Engagement etc). PLEASE DISTINGUISH BETWEEN IMPLEMENTATION & ONGOING REQUIREMENTS | HIGH MILESTONES TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT (The Critical Path Only) | TARGET DATES | INITIAL RAG RATING |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--------------|--------------------|
| General Practice | GP practices - Ongoing | | | |
| System suppliers | Acute/Community providers -Ongoing | | | |
| LDR Board | Finance - implementation | | | |
| eMBED/NHS digital | Primary care directorate - Ongoing | | | |
| Patients and Public | eMBED - contracting Engagement - ongoing (large) CCG IT management | Systems go live | Mar-19 | |

C) FINANCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| ESTIMATED SAVINGS (Gross) | | | | ESTIMATED SUMMARY COSTS (GROSS) | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|-------|----------|--|---------|-------|--|
| Key savings | In Year | FYE | Comments | Capital Costs | In Year | FYE | Comments (e.g. Capital Expenditure Funding Availability) |
| | £'000 | £'000 | | | £'000 | £'000 | |
| TBC | | | | Total Capital Costs | £ | £ | |
| | | | | Revenue costs: | In Year | FYE | Comments |
| | | | | Total Revenue Costs | £ | £ | |
| Total Project Savings £ - £ - | | | | Total Project Costs £ - £ - | | | |
| | | | | Net Position [Cost / (Savings)] £ - £ - | | | |

D) COMMERCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| | | | |
|--|--|------------------|-----|
| PROPOSED PROCUREMENT STRATEGY STP wide procurement for econsult concluded. | EXPECTED CONTRACTUAL ISSUES From October 18 E-referral will be part of the GP contract | KEY DATES | |
| | | START | END |
| | | | |

| | | |
|--|---------|-----|
| NET PROJECT COST / SAVINGS £'000s | In Year | FYE |
| | £ - | £ - |

| Sign off | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Executive Sponsor (Electronic Sign off) | Clinical Lead (Electronic Sign Off) | Project Lead | Business Case approved For Development - Target Completion Dates |
| | | | |

PROJECT BRIEF: 2018/19

MANDATORY COMPLETION - FOR APPROVAL TO PRODUCE BUSINESS CASE.

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--|
| PROGRAMME NAME | PC Recruitment and Retention | PROJECT NAME : | Recruitment and Retention | PROJECT TYPE | Geoff Day | EXPECTED BUSINESS CASE SIZE (S/M/L) |
| PROJECT LEAD | Helen Phillips | CLINICAL LEAD : | Dr S Modan | EXECUTIVE SPONSOR | | N/A |

A) STRATEGIC CASE & SUMMARY BUSINESS RATIONALE

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-----|---------------------|--|------------|--|--------------|-----|---------------|--|-----------------|--|---|
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | MAIN EVIDENCE BASE | MAIN INTERDEPENDENCIES / WIDER IMPACT | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Recruitment and retention of PC staff is under increasing pressure, this workstream aims to take advantage of the investment available through the GP forward view to support increasing the workforce and the opportunity to look at skill mix within GP practices. Below are the key elements of the programme: 1 Workforce strategy 2 International Recruitment 3 Clinical Pharmacist Programme | GPFV Soft intelligence from GP access survey and other sources. | Recruitment and retention Engagement from practices around the transformation agenda Development of care networks Development of out of hospital programme (reliant upon a list size, sustainable, at scale PC service) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OUTLINE OF EXPECTED BENEFITS (TO BE INVESTIGATED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE) | FIT WITH THE CCG'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES | MAIN ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Improved recruitment and retention Improved and extended access for patients Sustainable PC fit for the future Better outcomes for patients Greater clinical job satisfaction | <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Out of Hospital</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Acute Commissioning</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Prevention</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Care</td> <td>Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mental Health</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Meds Management</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> | Out of Hospital | Yes | Acute Commissioning | | Prevention | | Primary Care | Yes | Mental Health | | Meds Management | | 1) Development of out of hospital programme (reliant upon a list size, sustainable, at scale PC service) 2) 3) 4) In line with GPFV investment plans 5) 6) |
| Out of Hospital | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acute Commissioning | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prevention | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Care | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mental Health | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meds Management | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

B) MANAGEMENT CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| | | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------------|---------------------------|
| SUMMARY OF KEY STAKEHOLDERS | EXPECTED RESOURCE TO DELIVER THE PROJECT (Commissioning, Contracting, Finance, Engagement etc.). PLEASE DISTINGUISH BETWEEN IMPLEMENTATION & ONGOING REQUIREMENTS | HIGH MILESTONES TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT (The Critical Path Only) | TARGET DATES | INITIAL RAG RATING |
| General Practice | Finance - funding available through GPFV; Engagement - Ongoing; Commissioning - Ongoing; Quality - Ongoing; IM&T - ongoing; | Workforce strategy to inform future programme of work | Q4 2019/20 | |
| Patients | | GPs willing to work in North Lincolnshire from Europe | Q4 2018/19 | |
| Partner organisations e.g. community services | | Practice / Locality engagement into the Clinical Pharmacist Programme | Q3 2018/19 | |
| NHSE | | | Q4 2018/19 | |
| | | | Q2 2018/19 | |

C) FINANCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| ESTIMATED SAVINGS (Gross) | | | |
|---|---------|-------|----------|
| Key savings | In Year | FYE | Comments |
| | £'000 | £'000 | |
| There are no identified savings however with a more resilient workforce patients should be able to seek healthcare closer to home reducing the reliance on acute presentations at hospital and GP OOH | | | |

| ESTIMATED SUMMARY COSTS (GROSS) | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------|--|
| Capital Costs | In Year | FYE | Comments (e.g. Capital Expenditure Funding Availability) |
| | £'000 | £'000 | |
| Total Capital Costs | £468k | £ | Funding approved by NHS E GPFV monies based on 13 |
| Revenue costs: | In Year | FYE | Comments |
| | £'000 | £'000 | |
| Total Revenue Costs | £ | £ | |

Total Project Savings £ 172,224 £ -

Total Project Costs £ - £ -

Net Position [Cost /(Savings)] £ - £ -

D) COMMERCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| |
|---|
| PROPOSED PROCUREMENT STRATEGY |
| The recruitment agency used for International Recruitment has already been through a procurement process for HCV STP. No other procurement is required. |

| |
|------------------------------------|
| EXPECTED CONTRACTUAL ISSUES |
| N/A |

| KEY DATES | |
|-----------|------------|
| START | END |
| 1/4/208 | 31/03/2020 |

| | | |
|--|----------------|------------|
| NET PROJECT COST / SAVINGS £'000s | In Year | FYE |
| | £ | - |

Sign off

| | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|---|
| Executive Sponsor (Electronic Sign off) | Clinical Lead (Electronic Sign Off) | Project Lead | Business Case approved For Development - Target Completion Dates |
| | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| PROGRAMME NAME | Continuing Health Care | PROJECT NAME : | | PROJECT TYPE | | EXPECTED BUSINESS CASE SIZE (S/M/L) |
| PROJECT LEAD | Patrick Bowen | CLINICAL LEAD : | Faisal Baig | EXECUTIVE SPONSOR | John Pattinson | L |

A) STRATEGIC CASE & SUMMARY BUSINESS RATIONALE

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-----|---------------------|-----|------------|-----|--------------|-----|---------------|----|-----------------|----|--|
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | MAIN EVIDENCE BASE | MAIN INTERDEPENDENCIES / WIDER IMPACT | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Achieving the national framework metrics Reducing the number of false fast tracks Review capacity and demand Reviewing business admin to support the back office functions including personal health budgets and direct payments Data analysis and development of an approved provider list in partnership with the local authority Trialling a compliance app with a view to establishing that as a quality improvement and compliance tool for individual placements | NHS planning guidance Population profile Transforming Care National service framework for CHC Right to request (PHBDP) | QJPP delivery Local Authority - as people are moved out of hospital into individual placements there will be a potential impact on LA. Community Services Review | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OUTLINE OF EXPECTED BENEFITS (TO BE INVESTIGATED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE) | FIT WITH THE CCG'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES | MAIN ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Attainment of the national service metrics Reduction in cost Quality improvements | <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Out of Hospital</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Acute Commissioning</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Prevention</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Care</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mental Health</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Meds Management</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> </table> | Out of Hospital | YES | Acute Commissioning | YES | Prevention | YES | Primary Care | YES | Mental Health | NO | Meds Management | NO | 1) We will keep people at home for as long as possible using a community based model, wherever that can meet their needs. 2) This will impact on inpatient flows through effective use of discharge pathways 3) Reducing the non elective activity from the care home sector 4) Through the enhanced care home framework there is likely to be a closer link between primary care and people who are eligible for CHC 5) No direct impact 6) no direct impact |
| Out of Hospital | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acute Commissioning | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prevention | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Care | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mental Health | NO | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meds Management | NO | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

B) MANAGEMENT CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| SUMMARY OF KEY STAKEHOLDERS | EXPECTED RESOURCE TO DELIVER THE PROJECT (Commissioning, Contracting, Finance, Engagement etc). PLEASE DISTINGUISH BETWEEN IMPLEMENTATION & ONGOING REQUIREMENTS | HIGH MILESTONES TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT (The Critical Path Only) | TARGET DATES | INITIAL RAG RATING |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--------------|--------------------|
| CCG | Commissioning - potentially 2 band 6 WTE fixed term | Review capacity and demand top reprofile resources and fidelity to the national framework delivery | End of Q1 | |
| LA | Finance - Medium impact | Data analysis and market management for approved provider lists | Early Q3 | |
| RDASH | Contracting - Medium impact | Discharge pathways to reduce false fast tracks | End of Q1 | |
| STP | Comms and Engagement - Low | Redesign of discharge pathways complete | End of Q2 | |
| EMAS | Business Intelligence - Medium | Achieving the national service metrics | End of Q3 | |
| NLAG | Quality - Medium | Review of business admin and identify internal efficiencies for back office functions | End of Q2 | |
| Public | | | | |
| Independent sector | | | | |

C) FINANCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| ESTIMATED SAVINGS (Gross) | | | | ESTIMATED SUMMARY COSTS (GROSS) | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|----------|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------|--|
| Key savings | In Year £'000 | FYE £'000 | Comments | Capital Costs | In Year £'000 | FYE £'000 | Comments (e.g. Capital Expenditure Funding Availability) |
| | | | | Total Capital Costs | £ 45,000 | £45,000 | |
| | | | | Revenue costs: | In Year £'000 | FYE £'000 | Comments |
| | | | | Total Revenue Costs | £ | £ | |
| Total Project Savings | | | | Total Project Costs | | | |
| | £ 1,900,000 | £ 1,900,000 | | | £ 45,000 | £ 45,000 | |
| Net Position [Cost/(Savings)] | | | | | £ | - | £ 45,000 |

D) COMMERCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|------------------|--------|
| PROPOSED PROCUREMENT STRATEGY | EXPECTED CONTRACTUAL ISSUES | KEY DATES | |
| Develop approved provider list | Potential for contract disputes on an individual basis | START | END |
| | | Apr-18 | Mar-19 |

| | | |
|--|----------------|-------------|
| NET PROJECT COST / SAVINGS £'000s | In Year | FYE |
| | £ 1,855,000 | £ 1,855,000 |

Sign off

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Executive Sponsor (Electronic Sign off) | Clinical Lead (Electronic Sign Off) | Project Lead | Business Case approved For Development - Target Completion Dates |
| | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| PROGRAMME NAME | Mental Health and LD | PROJECT NAME : | | PROJECT TYPE | | EXPECTED BUSINESS CASE SIZE (S/M/L) |
| PROJECT LEAD | Samantha Helmick | CLINICAL LEAD : | Faisal Baig | EXECUTIVE SPONSOR | John Pattinson | L |

A) STRATEGIC CASE & SUMMARY BUSINESS RATIONALE

| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | MAIN EVIDENCE BASE | MAIN INTERDEPENDENCIES / WIDER IMPACT | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----|---------------------|-----|------------|-----|--------------|----|---------------|-----|-----------------|-----|---|
| 1) Transforming care - reducing number of people in hospital beds with LD by the end of March 2019 (CCG target is 3 people- currently 5). 2) Review of service contract for mental health with RDaSH and have agreed changes to be implemented in Q1 2018/19 - these include: - Eating disorders for CAMHS - under 5's for ASD - IAPT Cluster - Dementia Pathways - ADHD & ASD - Community Mental Health Teams 3) Urgent Care Crisis response - all age & Psychiatric Liaison 4) Case Management: Out of Area placements including PICU and Individual Placements - reduced expenditure (currently placing 85 people at cost of £7.2M per year, Aim to reduce the number of people being placed and bring spend down to circa £3.5M 5) Perinatal Mental Health 6) Strategic review with the local authority - system redesign looking at univerasl targetted and specialist support arrangements. | NHS planning guidance FYFV Population profile Transforming Care Crisis Care Concordat | QIPP delivery Local Authority - as people are moved out of hospital into individual placements there will be an impact on LA. Development of the Urgent Treatment Centre Acute Commissioning - Unplanned Care Programme A&E Delivery Board NLaG Contract Programme & Contract Transformation Board STP Programme - Place Based Plan | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OUTLINE OF EXPECTED BENEFITS (TO BE INVESTIGATED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE) | FIT WITH THE CCG'S STRATEGIC PRIORITIES | MAIN ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Better value for money - invest in more local services in line with FYFV Deliver care that maximises people's independence | <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Out of Hospital</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Acute Commissioning</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Prevention</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Primary Care</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mental Health</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Meds Management</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> </table> | Out of Hospital | YES | Acute Commissioning | YES | Prevention | YES | Primary Care | NO | Mental Health | YES | Meds Management | YES | 1) We will keep people at home for as long as possible using a community based model 2) Better support for people who are in acute hospital setting with mental health related problems 3) Preventing unnecessary urgent demand through A+E 4) No direct impact 5) Helping to support individuals to reach their optimum level of functioning and maximum level of independence 6) Reducing number of anti psychotics used for people with a LD. |
| Out of Hospital | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acute Commissioning | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prevention | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Care | NO | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mental Health | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meds Management | YES | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

B) MANAGEMENT CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| SUMMARY OF KEY STAKEHOLDERS | EXPECTED RESOURCE TO DELIVER THE PROJECT (Commissioning, Contracting, Finance, Engagement etc). PLEASE DISTINGUISH BETWEEN IMPLEMENTATION & ONGOING REQUIREMENTS | HIGH MILESTONES TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT (The Critical Path Only) | TARGET DATES | INITIAL RAG RATING |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|--------------------|
| CCG (s) | Commissioning | Service reviews complete | End of Q1 | |
| LA | Finance | Review of all individual placements | End of Q2 | |
| RDaSH | Contracting | Review of strategic commissioning direction by the end of Q3 | End of Q3 | |
| Police | Comms and Engagement | Rehab and recovery model established within the local mental health sysetm | End of Q4 | |
| MIND & other independent sector | Business Intelligence | | | |
| NLAG | Quality | | | |
| Public | | | | |
| STP & TCP | | | | |
| EMAS | | | | |

C) FINANCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| ESTIMATED SAVINGS (Gross) | | | | ESTIMATED SUMMARY COSTS (GROSS) | | | |
|--|-------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|--|
| Key savings | In Year | FYE | Comments | Capital Costs | In Year | FYE | Comments (e.g. Capital Expenditure Funding Availability) |
| | | £'000 | | | £'000 | £'000 | |
| £1.86M - Based on estimated savings by reducing individual placements and responsible commissioner disputes. | | | | Total Capital Costs | £ | £ | No new costs |
| | | | | Revenue costs: | £'000 | £'000 | Comments |
| | | | | Total Revenue Costs | £ | £ | |
| Total Project Savings | £1,860,000 | £ | - | Total Project Costs | £ | £ | - |
| | | | | Net Position [Cost /(Savings)] | £ | £ | - |

D) COMMERCIAL CASE (TO BE DETAILED FURTHER IN THE BUSINESS CASE)

| PROPOSED PROCUREMENT STRATEGY | EXPECTED CONTRACTUAL ISSUES | KEY DATES | |
|---|--|--------------|--|
| N/A | Differences over activity levels for IAPT May need to rebase activity levels following the Scotter merger | START | END |
| | | Apr-18 | Mar-19 |
| NET PROJECT COST / SAVINGS £'000s | | | |
| | | In Year | FYE |
| | | £ 1,860,000 | £ - |
| Sign off | | | |
| Executive Sponsor (Electronic Sign off) | Clinical Lead (Electronic Sign Off) | Project Lead | Business Case approved For Development - Target Completion Dates |
| | | | |



Partners in improving local health



North of England
Commissioning Support

NECS At Scale Approaches to Medicines Optimisation QIPP **2018/2019**

Introduction

With increasing pressure on prescribing budgets many CCGs are facing the same issues in trying to change prescribing practice and patient behaviour in order to reduce demand and tackle rising costs.

NHS England has proposed a cost increase to overall primary care budgets of 1.9% to account for inflation for 2018/19; the Horizon Scanning document¹ has recommended applying a 1% cost efficiency² to this for QIPP to allow for a final uplift to prescribing budgets between 0% and 1% on outturn. Actual QIPP cost efficiency savings targets should be determined locally based on current spend, anticipated pressures arising from an increased focus on treating patients in the community and ongoing cost savings initiatives.

Current and previous years QIPP delivery by NECS and in-house CCG medicine optimisation (MO) teams has led to a decrease in cost growth and the key question is whether this can be sustained going forward as many of the quick wins have now been exhausted.

Continued cost efficiency delivery is now proving difficult due to the increasing number of conditions established drugs are being used for, and there is significant financial risk due to generic drug supply issues caused by supply constraints, targeted switch programmes and exchange rate shifts following the Brexit vote.

As NECS MO provides support to 16 CCGs, there is huge potential to benefit from joint working across the NECS footprint with a coherent approach providing consistent messaging, reductions in duplication and helping to address unwarranted variation.

One of the key elements with any initiative is deciding where to focus the limited resources available to implement any initiatives to deliver the greatest impact and provide efficiencies through joint production and sharing of implementation tactics and approaches.

This paper sets out the proposal for a common approach to therapeutic areas where there is an opportunity to tackle them at scale.

Background and current approaches

Over previous years there have been opportunities to identify and switch medication to alternatives with a lower acquisition cost within the same therapeutic class. However, much

¹ North East and North Cumbria Horizon Scanning Document 2018- 2019. Key Considerations for CCG Commissioners published in December 2017 by NECS MO and Regional Drug and Therapeutics Centre (RDTC)

² Cost efficiency is the savings target is the proposed % FOTT for 2017/18 that will be delivered as a reduction in expenditure in 2018/19

of the easier switch work has now been done meaning that savings from switches is either more involved or simpler switch options are much more limited.

In the coming financial year (2018/19) there will be no windfall savings as significant as those from the Lyrica[®] (pregabalin) patent expiry (initial potential savings calculated as £371,233 per 100,000 population) but there may be some more limited savings³ from a small number of patents that have recently expired (see below):

| Brand (drug) | Patent expiry date | Expected windfall |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| Crestor [®] (rosuvastatin) | December 2017 | £34,000 per 100,000 population |
| Cialis [®] (tadalafil) | November 2017 | £20,000 per 100,000 people |
| Levitra [®] (vardenafil) | October 2018 | £2,000 per 100,000 people |

Nationally, between November 2016 and October 2017, the average Net Ingredient Cost (NIC) per prescription item was £8.18, and over the year the NIC per patient was £153.26. Putting these figures into context, every £500k of QIPP saving would require the equivalent of completely stopping 61,120 prescriptions, or stopping all prescribing for 3260 people.

Existing ongoing cost saving initiatives will continue to be delivered and include:

- Primary care rebates schemes
- Core MO work focusing on inappropriate Red Drug prescribing in primary care
- Unnecessary specially prepared unlicensed medicines (specials) and high cost drugs
- Full year or rollover savings figures
- Savings from decision support software applications
- Polypharmacy reviews

Deprescribing as part of a polypharmacy reviews, as a quality intervention, can have considerable benefits for an individual patient. However, delivery of significant savings needs to be carefully balanced with staff utilisation and delivery of other initiatives. This can be successfully delivered in the care home setting as well as within GP practice settings. Potential savings based on work undertaken by NECS MO show that for each full time⁴ pharmacist annual savings of over £110k prescribing savings can be delivered in the care home setting. In addition, the theoretical costs associated with prevention of adverse drug events through harm prevention, add further quality and safety which in previous work was estimated to be between £188 and £425 per review⁵. Similar GP practice based reviews have been shown to deliver savings in excess of £50k per wte⁴ pharmacist per year.

There are a number of initiatives in place or in development through existing NECS MO work such as:

- PbR excluded High Cost Drugs
- Electronic repeat dispensing,
- Reduction in the prescribing of gluten free products,

³ Savings are predicated on the generic availability and a change in Drug Tariff category

⁴ Savings estimated based on one wte spending 2/3rds of their time on medication reviews.

⁵ Estimated cost avoidance based on SchARR model and work undertaken by NECS MO in 2015. Costs avoided associated with potential hospitalisation or additional treatment costs associated with severity of potential adverse drug event avoided

- Wound care product supply,
- Oral nutritional support.

The NHS RightCare approach supports CCGs in identifying prescribing and other cost savings for specific clinical pathways, aiming to reduce unwarranted variation. Identifying initiatives for the prescribing aspects of the pathways can support CCGs in tackling them.

Additionally, there are ongoing quality initiatives that do not release an obvious saving to the prescribing budget, but can influence CCG budgets, clinician time, hospital admissions and other savings to the wider healthcare economy. These include the NHSE Quality Premium scheme for antimicrobial stewardship, and Electronic Repeat Dispensing.

Given the size of this task, new approaches are needed to tackle spend in order to change prescribing behaviours and patient demand whilst ensuring that the right medication is prescribed to the right person, for the right condition, at the right time. By doing this high quality cost-effective prescribing is built into the system whilst at the same time driving out waste.

Discussion

In November 2017 NECS invited input from stakeholders (prescribers, medicines optimisation staff, CCG and practice staff) via an online survey to assist with informing the priorities for the 2018-19 QIPP plan. The top 5 areas, which respondents highlighted that they felt intervention would be welcome, were in order:

1. Polypharmacy Medication reviews
2. Managed Repeats & Waste
3. Pain Review
4. ONS
5. Respiratory

In addition to this, and to inform the potential saving opportunities data provided by PrescQIPP from 115 indicators (Appendix 1) has been reviewed at individual CCG level⁶. This allows common areas across the CCGs to be identified and common approaches to tackle the identified issues developed. The final choice of indicators has been chosen based on the collective CCG benefit from moving into the top quartile.

With some indicators there is considerable overlap particularly where an indicator looks at a therapeutic area as a whole whilst another indicator targets a specific drug within that same field.

With this in mind however it is possible to rank the indicators in order of potential benefit as calculated by PrescQIPP and then group high ranking indicators within the same therapeutic area.

The full range of indicators from PrescQIPP can be seen in appendix 2 ranked in order of the collective saving opportunities.

⁶ The choice of indicators has been chosen based on collective CCG benefit from moving into top quartile.

Tackling the top 20* indicators

*Of the 115 indicators, the global indicators of “Items per 1,000 Astro PU” and “NIC per Astro PU” are naturally placed highest up the ranking as these cover all prescribing and this paper focusses on the next top 20 highest ranked indicators.

Table 1 - Top 20* indicators with the highest collective benefit if initiatives are delivered so that prescribing expenditure is equivalent to the top national quartile (25th percentile) expenditure

| Rank | Therapeutic Area | Indicator |
|------|----------------------|---|
| 1 | Global Indicator | Items per 1,000 Astro PU |
| 2 | Global Indicator | NIC per Astro PU |
| 3 | Stoma & Incontinence | Stoma products cost per 1,000 patients |
| 4 | Dressings | Dressings cost per 1,000 patients |
| 5 | Self Care | Selfcare ALL |
| 6 | Stoma & Incontinence | Continence products cost per 1,000 patients |
| 7 | Oral Nutrition | Sip feeds cost per 1,000 patients |
| 8 | Self Care | Analgesia excl. POM & cough/cold remedies |
| 9 | Analgesia | Opioids cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (BNF 4.7.1. & 4.7.2) COST based STAR PU |
| 10 | Oral Nutrition | Infant feeds cost per 1,000 patients UNDER 5 (excl. tube & sip) |
| 11 | Self Care | Upset Stomach |
| 12 | Urology | Drugs for urinary incontinence (BNF 7.4.2) cost per 1,000 cost based Astro PUs |
| 13 | Analgesia | Oxycodone MR cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (BNF 4.7.1. & 4.7.2) COST based STAR PU |
| 14 | Appliances | Drop-List Devices ALL |
| 15 | Urology | Generic sildenafil as a % of all PDE5 inhibitors for ED |
| 16 | Specials | Total specials cost per 1,000 patients |
| 17 | Diabetes | Blood glucose testing strips cost per 1,000 QoF registered patients with diabetes mellitus aged over 17 (2015/16) |
| 18 | Analgesia | Opioid patches cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (BNF 4.7.1. & 4.7.2) COST based STAR PU |
| 19 | Analgesia | Nefopam cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (BNF 4.7.1. & 4.7.2) COST based STAR PU |
| 20 | Self Care | Antihistamines (POM) |
| 21 | Respiratory | Low/moderate dose ICS/LABA inhalers as a % of all ICS/LABA inhalers |
| 22 | Appliances | Anal Irrigation System |

From this table it is possible to see that there are some therapeutic areas with a number of indicators in the top 20 meaning these could be tackled together as part of a single initiative. At the same time, the therapeutic area approach could take into account other indicators in the top 50 and could be considered at the same time.

Table 2 – Top 20* indicators for potential savings grouped with other indicators for the same therapeutic area but within the top 52 indicators

| Therapeutic Area | Indicators in top 22 for potential savings (note top 2 are global indicators) | Rank | Indicators to be considered at same time (as are in top 52) | Rank |
|--------------------------|--|------|---|------|
| Stoma/ continence | Stoma products cost per 1,000 patients | 3 | - | - |
| | Continence products cost per 1,000 patients | 6 | - | - |
| Dressings | Dressings cost per 1,000 patients | 4 | - | - |
| Self care | Selfcare ALL | 5 | Emollients cost per 1,000 patients | 29 |
| | Analgesia excl. POM & cough/cold remedies | 8 | Emollients (OTC) | 30 |
| | Upset Stomach | 11 | HeartBurn and Indigestion | 32 |
| | Antihistamines (POM) | 20 | Conjunctivitis | 40 |
| | - | | Nasal Sprays (OTC) | 47 |
| | - | | Travel Sickness | 49 |
| | - | | Antihistamines (OTC) | 51 |
| Analgesia | Opioids cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (BNF 4.7.1. & 4.7.2) COST based STAR PU | 9 | Gabapentin and pregabalin cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (BNF 4.7.1. & 4.7.2) COST based STAR PU | 25 |
| | Oxycodone MR cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (BNF 4.7.1. & 4.7.2) COST based STAR PU | 13 | Lidocaine patch (versatis, lidoderm) | 24 |
| | Nefopam cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (BNF 4.7.1. & 4.7.2) COST based STAR PU | 19 | Fentanyl immediate release formulations | 35 |
| | Opioid patches cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (BNF 4.7.1. & 4.7.2) COST based STAR PU | 18 | Low cost opioid patches as a % of all opioid patches | 45 |
| | - | | Oxycodone/naloxone (targinact) | 52 |
| Oral nutrition | Sip feeds cost per 1,000 patients | 7 | Preferred powdered ONS as a % of all sip feeds | 27 |
| | Infant feeds cost per 1,000 patients UNDER 5 (excl. tube & sip) | 10 | Gluten free cost per 1,000 patients | 36 |
| Urology | Drugs for urinary incontinence (BNF 7.4.2) cost per 1,000 cost based Astro PUs | 12 | Tadalafil (cialis once-a-day) | 34 |
| | Generic sildenafil as a % of all PDE5 inhibitors for ED | 15 | Low cost drugs for urinary incontinence as a | 42 |

| | | | | |
|--------------------|---|----|---|----|
| | | | % of all drugs for urinary incontinence (BNF 7.4.2) | |
| Appliances | Drop-List Devices ALL | 14 | Lymphoedema Garments | 31 |
| | Anal Irrigation System | 22 | - | - |
| Specials | Total specials cost per 1,000 patients | 16 | Special order products cost per 1,000 patients | 23 |
| | | | Drug tariff special order products cost per 1,000 patients | 33 |
| Diabetes | Blood glucose testing strips cost per 1,000 QoF registered patients with diabetes mellitus aged over 17 (2015/16) | 17 | Generic metformin as a % of all anti-diabetic drugs (BNF 6.1.2) | 28 |
| | - | | NPH intermediate acting insulin as a % of all intermediate and long acting insulins | 44 |
| | - | | NPH biphasic as a % of all biphasic insulins | 48 |
| Respiratory | Low/moderate dose ICS/LABA inhalers as a % of all ICS/LABA inhalers | 21 | Least costly low/moderate dose ICS/LABA inhaler as a % of all low/moderate dose ICS/LABA inhalers | 43 |

At Scale Approach

Given the identified areas where there is most potential for savings, NECS will be scoping the potential in developing a suite of materials to support QIPP in the following areas:

- Self-Care
- Oral Nutrition
- Wound care products
- Analgesia
- Urology
- Appliances
- Specials
- Diabetes
- Respiratory
- Stoma/ Incontinence
- Prescribing Processes

In order to reduce unnecessary duplication this will look at work already done across the 16 CCGs where Medicines Optimisation Services are provided by NECS, alongside resources available elsewhere, such as PrescQIPP, to develop resource packs to support the delivery of each QIPP initiative in an identified therapeutic areas. These resource packs will include a range of supporting materials such as; standard operating procedures (SOPs) to drive consistency; key performance indicators to measure and monitor progress; communication media such as posters and leaflets; policies; and project plans to facilitate implementation at a local level.

Each CCG can identify which initiatives will be of most benefit to them by using the PrescQIPP figures for their individual CCG as shown in appendix 2 ; this will allow a mix and match approach to meet the priorities of individual CCGs.

It is expected that initiative packs will be ready for the beginning of the 2018/19 financial year.

Actual cost efficiency delivery will be based on local circumstance, current spend, anticipated pressures arising and capacity to implement initiatives to deliver cost saving efficiencies in 2018/19. It should also be noted that potential savings in the table are only for indicative purposes and not all of these savings will be able to be released.

CCGs may continue with existing ongoing cost saving initiatives as part of their existing QIPP plan such as:

- Primary care rebates schemes
- Core MO work focusing on inappropriate Red Drug prescribing in primary care
- Unnecessary specially prepared unlicensed medicines (specials) and high cost drugs
- Full year or rollover savings figures
- Savings from decision support software applications
- Polypharmacy reviews

Additionally work will be implemented as per the guidance published for CCGs on items which should not be routinely prescribed in primary care <https://www.england.nhs.uk/medicines/items-which-should-not-be-routinely-prescribed/> and the consultation on prescribing over the counter medicines which has just been announced <https://www.engage.england.nhs.uk/consultation/over-the-counter-items-not-routinely-prescribed/>.

Other medicines optimisation work plan project areas include:

- Biosimilars opportunity utilisation - Ensure the health economy benefits from further increased usage of biosimilar biologics. In 2018/2019 there is the launch of the adalimumab biosimilar and further utilisation of infliximab, rituximab and etanercept biosimilars offer savings.
- High cost drugs – Ensure necessary challenges to PbR excluded drugs/ High Cost Drug recharges from secondary care providers. NECS have produced a QIPP 'Menu of Opportunities' for all CCGs to choose and this is an area for inclusion in this. Ensure alignment to National Tariff guidance related to PbR excluded drugs
- OptimiseRx – Clinical decision support software optimisation - The project is aiming to achieve the cost effective and quality QIPP savings from the use of OptimiseRx. Delivering patient-specific best practice, safety and cost-saving guidance to local prescribers. OptimiseRx combines evidence-based best practice, safety and cost-effective prescribing messages, delivered in real time at the point of care. Innovative and intelligent, OptimiseRx is seamlessly integrated with the prescribing workflow of the clinical system, providing healthcare professionals with guidance based on individual patient record.

- Reviewing the clinical and cost effectiveness of medicines in different therapeutic areas; reviewing and implementing changes in low priority medicines, reducing polypharmacy and medicines wastage.

North Lincolnshire Proposed Medicines Optimisation Work Plan 2018/2019

| Ref | Therapeutic area | Description (Indicators in top 22 for potential savings) | Opportunity (with assumed change rate) (£) | Aspirational Opportunity (100%) (£) |
|-----|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | Analgesia | Opioids cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (BNF 4.7.1. & 4.7.2) COST based STAR PU | 33,428 (15%) | 222,854 |
| | | Lidocaine patch (versatis, lidoderm) | 1,364 (20%) | 6,821 |
| | | Opioid patches cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (BNF 4.7.1. & 4.7.2) COST based STAR PU | TBC* | 224,861 |
| 2 | Stoma/ continence | Stoma products cost per 1,000 patients | TBC * | 720,498 |
| | | Continence products cost per 1,000 patients | TBC * | 366,277 |
| 3 | Dressings | Dressings cost per 1,000 patients | TBC* | 799,161 |
| 4 | Low priority medicines https://www.england.nhs.uk/medicines/items-which-should-not-be-routinely-prescribed/ | Tadalafil (cialis once-a-day) | 14,261 (20%) | 71,305 |
| | | Liothyronine | 3,724 (20%) | 18,620 |
| | | Oxycodone/naloxone (targinact) | 1,867 (20%) | 9,338 |
| | | Lutein and antioxidant vitamins | 1,447 (20%) | 7,239 |
| | | Tramacet (combination product paracetamol with tramadol) | 1,853 (20%) | 9,266 |
| | | Rubefaciants | 2,018 (20%) | 10,090 |
| 5 | Oral nutrition | Sip feeds cost per 1,000 patients | TBC * | 350,858 |
| | | Infant feeds cost per 1,000 patients UNDER 5 (excl. tube & sip) | 21,887 (20%) | 109,439 |
| | | Gluten free cost per 1,000 patients | 21,803 (40%) | 54,509 |
| 6 | Self-care | Self-care (All) | 32,919 (10%) | 329,195 |
| 7 | Core work (specials, red drugs, high cost drugs, and unspecified drug codes) | Specials, red drugs, high cost drugs, and unspecified drug codes. This core work will also include some elements of ongoing opportunities as identified by the cost efficiency calculator | 239,832 | 239,832 |

| | | | | |
|----|--|--|---|-----------|
| 8 | Pharmaceutical Rebates | CCG approved rebates | 30,561 | 61,121 |
| 9 | Primary Care Prescribing Medicines Optimisation - roll through from 2017/2018 | Primary Care Prescribing Medicines Optimisation - roll through from 2017/2018 | 309,461 | 309,461 |
| 10 | Biosimilars opportunity utilisation | Ensure the health economy benefits from further increased usage of biosimilar biologics. In 2018/2019 there is the launch of the adalimumab biosimilar and further utilisation of infliximab, rituximab and etanercept biosimilars offer savings. NB: This is dependent on secondary care engagement | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| 11 | High cost drugs | challenges/checks relevant to PbR excluded/high costs drugs | TBC * | |
| 12 | OptimiseRx | Clinical decision support software optimisation | TBC | TBC |
| 13 | Antibiotics | As per Quality Premium | Quality area - direct prescribing savings not significant | |
| 14 | Polypharmacy reviews | Reviewing the clinical and cost effectiveness of medicines in different therapeutic areas; reviewing and implementing changes in low priority medicines, reducing polypharmacy and medicines wastage. | TBC | |
| 15 | Electronic repeat dispensing | Support to CCG for practices to implement electronic repeat dispensing | | |
| | | | 866,425 | 3,920,745 |

TBC * - These are longer term projects and savings opportunity is dependent on actions developed and implemented from the NECS QIPP group.

Recommendation

The CCG executives are asked:

1. If they wish to be included in the at scale QIPP initiative
2. To consider a 1.9% uplift on forecast outturn in line with Horizon Scanning Document recommendations
3. To set a cost efficiency of a minimum 1% of forecast outturn¹
4. To approve the proposed medicines optimisation work plan for 2018/19 project areas

Appendix 1 – 115 Indicators

| Rank | Indicator |
|------|---|
| 1 | Items per 1,000 Astro PU |
| 2 | NIC per Astro PU |
| 3 | Stoma products cost per 1,000 patients |
| 4 | Dressings cost per 1,000 patients |
| 5 | Selfcare ALL |
| 6 | Continence products cost per 1,000 patients |
| 7 | Sip feeds cost per 1,000 patients |
| 8 | Analgesia excl. POM & cough/cold remedies |
| 9 | Opioids cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (BNF 4.7.1. & 4.7.2) COST based STAR PU |
| 10 | Infant feeds cost per 1,000 patients UNDER 5 (excl. tube & sip) |
| 11 | Upset Stomach |
| 12 | Drugs for urinary incontinence (BNF 7.4.2) cost per 1,000 cost based Astro PUs |
| 13 | Oxycodone MR cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (BNF 4.7.1. & 4.7.2) COST based STAR PU |
| 14 | Drop-List Devices ALL |
| 15 | Generic sildenafil as a % of all PDE5 inhibitors for ED |
| 16 | Total specials cost per 1,000 patients |
| 17 | Blood glucose testing strips cost per 1,000 QoF registered patients with diabetes mellitus aged over 17 (2015/16) |
| 18 | Opioid patches cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (BNF 4.7.1. & 4.7.2) COST based STAR PU |
| 19 | Nefopam cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (BNF 4.7.1. & 4.7.2) COST based STAR PU |
| 20 | Antihistamines (POM) |
| 21 | Low/moderate dose ICS/LABA inhalers as a % of all ICS/LABA inhalers |
| 22 | Anal Irrigation System |
| 23 | Special order products cost per 1,000 patients |
| 24 | Lidocaine patch (versatis, lidoderm) |
| 25 | Gabapentin and pregabalin cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (BNF 4.7.1. & 4.7.2) COST based STAR PU |
| 26 | Generic low cost statins as a % of all statins |
| 27 | Preferred powdered ONS as a % of all sip feeds |
| 28 | Generic metformin as a % of all anti-diabetic drugs (BNF 6.1.2) |
| 29 | Emollients cost per 1,000 patients |
| 30 | Emollients (OTC) |
| 31 | Lymphoedema Garments |
| 32 | HeartBurn and Indigestion |
| 33 | Drug tariff special order products cost per 1,000 patients |
| 34 | Tadalafil (cialis once-a-day) |
| 35 | Fentanyl immediate release formulations |
| 36 | Gluten free cost per 1,000 patients |
| 37 | Low cost quetiapine MR as a % of all quetiapine MR |
| 38 | Liothyronine |
| 39 | DROP-List ALL |
| 40 | Conjunctivitis |
| 41 | Generic latanoprost (including combinations) as a % of of prostaglandin eye drops |
| 42 | Low cost drugs for urinary incontinence as a % of all drugs for urinary incontinence (BNF 7.4.2) |
| 43 | Least costly low/moderate dose ICS/LABA inhaler as a % of all low/moderate dose ICS/LABA inhalers |
| 44 | NPH intermediate acting insulin as a % of all intermediate and long acting insulins |
| 45 | Low cost opioid patches as a % of all opioid patches |

Generic standard release atypical antipsychotics as a % of all atypical
46 antipsychotics
47 Nasal Sprays (OTC)
48 NPH biphasic as a % of all biphasic insulins
49 Travel Sickness
50 Other lipid regulating drugs BNF 2.12 subset as a % of total items BNF 2.12
51 Antihistamines (OTC)
52 Oxycodone/naloxone (targinact)
53 Unspecified specials cost per 1,000 patients
Least costly insulin (less than #6 per 100) disposable needles as a % of all insulin
54 disposable needles
55 Co-proxamol
56 Travel vaccines not prescribable on NHS
57 Stoma Garments
58 Low cost blood glucose testing strips (less than #9 per 50 strips) as a % of all strips
59 Generically available dementia drugs as a % of all dementia drugs (BNF 4.11)
60 Skin Rash
61 Eflornithine cream (vaniqua) for hirsutism
Blood ketone testing strips cost per 1,000 QoF registered patients with diabetes
62 mellitus aged over 17 (2015/16)
63 Gluten free items excl. all bread, flour & mixes cost per 1,000 patients
64 Vitamins and minerals
65 Head Lice & Scabies
66 Omega-3 and other fish oils
67 Lutein and antioxidant vitamins
68 Quetiapine standard release as a % of all quetiapine items (Chem Sub 0402010AB)
69 Deodorants
70 Rubefaciants
71 Dental products on FP10
72 Cannabis sativa
73 Dry Mouth Products
Low cost blood glucose testing strips (less than £10 per 50 strips) as a % of all
74 strips
75 Eczema
76 Fungal Infection
77 Plantar Pressure Offloading Devices
78 Doxazosin MR
79 Dosulepin
80 Cough and cold remedies
81 Tramacet (combination product paracetamol with tramadol)
Biosimilar insuline glargine (abasaglar) as a % of all insuline glargine (excl. high
82 dose)
83 Silk Garments
84 Inhalation Solutions
85 Colic
86 Generic gabapentin as a % of all gabapentin / pregabalin
87 Ear Wax Softening Medical Devices
88 Aliskiren (rasilez)
89 Haemorrhoid treatments (excl. POM)
90 Oscillating Positive Expiratory Pressure Device
Urine testing strips cost per 1,000 QoF registered patients with diabetes mellitus
91 aged over 17 (2015/16)
92 Probiotics
93 Nasal Products
94 Glucosamine

| | |
|-----|--|
| 95 | Sunscreens |
| 96 | Coversyl arginine and branded coversyl |
| 97 | Minocycline for acne |
| 98 | Amiodarone |
| 99 | Other Appliances |
| 100 | Sleep Problems |
| 101 | Cold Sore |
| 102 | Eye Compress |
| 103 | Complementary therapies |
| 104 | Threadworm |
| 105 | Auto Inflation Device |
| 106 | Insert For Female Stress Incont |
| 107 | Cycloidal Vibration Accessories |
| 108 | Pelvic Toning Devices |
| 109 | Head Lice Device |
| 110 | Needle-Free Insulin Delivery System |
| 111 | Bacterial Decolonisation Products |
| 112 | Belladonna Adhesive Plaster |
| 113 | Acne Treatment |
| 114 | Inspiratory Muscle Training Devices |
| 115 | Electrical Stimulating Wound Device |

Appendix 2 – potential benefit from moving into to quartile for each indicator. Note there is considerable overlap between some indicators meaning benefit may be double counted. Zero saving is given where CCGs are already in the top 25% for that indicator.

| Therapeutic Area | Prescrip indicator | CCG Ranking | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Sum of collective financial benefit | Rank of collective financial benefit | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| | | CALDERDALE cost avoidance | CALDERDALE ranking | DEHLINGTON cost avoidance | DEHLINGTON ranking | DOSE cost avoidance | DOSE ranking | EAST BINDON OF YORKSHIRE cost avoidance | EAST BINDON OF YORKSHIRE ranking | HAST cost avoidance | HAST ranking | HULL cost avoidance | HULL ranking | MORCAME BAY cost avoidance | MORCAME BAY ranking | NECKSTEAD & TESHEAD cost avoidance | NECKSTEAD & TESHEAD ranking | NORTH CLUDBERT cost avoidance | NORTH CLUDBERT ranking | NORTH DURHAM cost avoidance | NORTH DURHAM ranking | | | NORTH EAST LINCOLNSHIRE cost avoidance | NORTH EAST LINCOLNSHIRE ranking | NORTH LINCOLNSHIRE cost avoidance | NORTH LINCOLNSHIRE ranking | NORTH TYNSIDE cost avoidance | NORTH TYNSIDE ranking | NORTHUMBERLAND cost avoidance | NORTHUMBERLAND ranking | SOUTH TEST cost avoidance | SOUTH TEST ranking | SOUTH TYNSIDE cost avoidance | SOUTH TYNSIDE ranking | SUNDERLAND cost avoidance | SUNDERLAND ranking |
| Stoma & Incontinence | Stoma products cost per 1,000 patients | £377,971 | 5 | £215,540 | 5 | £860,993 | 4 | £1,001,000 | 3 | £261,249 | 7 | £637,874 | 5 | £953,041 | 3 | £947,850 | 6 | £984,149 | 3 | £844,114 | 3 | £372,079 | 4 | £720,498 | 4 | £379,419 | 5 | £619,851 | 4 | £832,805 | 3 | £287,438 | 6 | £875,468 | 3 | £11,171,339 | 3 |
| Stoma & Incontinence | Continence products cost per 1,000 patients | £137,948 | 13 | £270,903 | 4 | £669,618 | 6 | £409,318 | 6 | £294,021 | 6 | £86,576 | 22 | £777,670 | 5 | £37,641 | 8 | £379,265 | 6 | £363,309 | 7 | £155,834 | 9 | £366,777 | 5 | £499,931 | 3 | £815,218 | 3 | £663,770 | 5 | £151,970 | 10 | £665,742 | 5 | £7,444,511 | 6 |
| Dressings | Dressings cost per 1,000 patients | £580,928 | 3 | £303,393 | 3 | £939,089 | 3 | £204,977 | 12 | £544,413 | 4 | £0 | 83 | £887,397 | 4 | £1,589,730 | 3 | £603,624 | 4 | £620,325 | 4 | £85,1254 | 3 | £799,161 | 3 | £0 | 66 | £0 | 71 | £266,466 | 11 | £388,218 | 5 | £654,600 | 6 | £9,233,575 | 4 |
| Self Care | Software ALL | £270,594 | 6 | £187,612 | 7 | £776,035 | 5 | £511,092 | 5 | £650,624 | 3 | £656,057 | 4 | £117,401 | 12 | £1,283,310 | 4 | £422,772 | 5 | £532,030 | 5 | £42,305 | 18 | £329,195 | 7 | £390,529 | 4 | £424,279 | 5 | £811,962 | 4 | £430,324 | 3 | £870,453 | 4 | £8,706,574 | 5 |
| Self Care | Analgesic cost (POMs & cough/cold remedies) | £109,122 | 16 | £84,389 | 13 | £352,083 | 8 | £176,670 | 15 | £252,833 | 8 | £243,177 | 7 | £281,226 | 7 | £793,440 | 7 | £230,881 | 9 | £300,121 | 8 | £59,556 | 15 | £114,812 | 17 | £273,744 | 6 | £376,534 | 6 | £294,178 | 10 | £219,425 | 8 | £455,923 | 8 | £4,618,114 | 8 |
| Self Care | Upper stomach | £47,860 | 30 | £43,002 | 24 | £249,558 | 11 | £136,689 | 16 | £235,390 | 9 | £98,432 | 20 | £63,030 | 18 | £453,432 | 9 | £116,774 | 11 | £137,815 | 17 | £19,847 | 26 | £64,318 | 22 | £251,432 | 8 | £231,898 | 10 | £221,539 | 14 | £187,764 | 9 | £271,916 | 10 | £2,825,694 | 11 |
| Self Care | Antibiotics (POM) | £108,696 | 17 | £17,645 | 31 | £71,179 | 28 | £119,636 | 19 | £76,415 | 23 | £158,462 | 13 | £15,553 | 31 | £231,839 | 15 | £77,418 | 19 | £81,862 | 26 | £33,467 | 22 | £44,099 | 27 | £25,072 | 24 | £60,197 | 21 | £99,589 | 18 | £77,694 | 22 | £152,957 | 18 | £1,401,779 | 20 |
| Self Care | Emollients cost per 1,000 patients | £49,817 | 26 | £93,111 | 12 | £82,471 | 26 | £76,505 | 23 | £113,689 | 16 | £136,938 | 16 | £0 | 75 | £0 | 78 | £0 | 78 | £0 | 78 | £0 | 69 | £17,436 | 38 | £0 | 66 | £0 | 71 | £226,460 | 13 | £28,818 | 20 | £0 | 85 | £846,365 | 29 |
| Self Care | Emollients (OTC) | £44,436 | 28 | £59,196 | 19 | £100,918 | 23 | £116,215 | 20 | £92,184 | 20 | £166,948 | 12 | £0 | 75 | £0 | 78 | £0 | 78 | £0 | 78 | £0 | 69 | £31,127 | 37 | £0 | 66 | £0 | 71 | £159,478 | 16 | £8,830 | 39 | £0 | 85 | £802,815 | 30 |
| Self Care | Health care budgeting | £15,865 | 48 | £7,999 | 37 | £44,095 | 33 | £93,129 | 21 | £46,047 | 25 | £62,029 | 25 | £28,813 | 26 | £6,794 | 55 | £91,396 | 13 | £16,007 | 51 | £18,052 | 27 | £130,468 | 14 | £5,882 | 36 | £14,083 | 34 | £69,595 | 27 | £209 | 76 | £23,726 | 37 | £674,190 | 32 |
| Self Care | Conjunctivitis | £15,946 | 47 | £8,412 | 36 | £27,016 | 38 | £17,877 | 38 | £24,666 | 30 | £16,564 | 44 | £16,085 | 29 | £5,013 | 28 | £28,352 | 25 | £22,902 | 42 | £9,878 | 32 | £22,268 | 32 | £11,454 | 30 | £30,052 | 28 | £37,507 | 34 | £18,240 | 25 | £18,043 | 40 | £379,274 | 40 |
| Self Care | Nasal Sprays (OTC) | £0 | 93 | £0 | 77 | £15,795 | 46 | £23,056 | 37 | £20,448 | 32 | £4,598 | 59 | £20,968 | 27 | £42,416 | 32 | £8,713 | 38 | £18,040 | 46 | £9,848 | 33 | £11,244 | 45 | £16,942 | 28 | £27,363 | 29 | £27,704 | 36 | £13,678 | 29 | £9,805 | 48 | £770,617 | 47 |
| Self Care | Travel Sickness | £4,611 | 66 | £2,671 | 47 | £27,919 | 36 | £8,656 | 51 | £16,533 | 46 | £3,798 | 62 | £10,845 | 38 | £30,492 | 36 | £8,307 | 40 | £20,012 | 43 | £5,864 | 42 | £7,595 | 50 | £12,544 | 29 | £22,186 | 32 | £20,875 | 38 | £14,727 | 28 | £32,410 | 35 | £250,044 | 49 |
| Self Care | Antibiotics (OTC) | £41,991 | 32 | £4,699 | 41 | £8,763 | 39 | £8,921 | 40 | £13,971 | 40 | £0 | 85 | £0 | 75 | £19,690 | 40 | £12,441 | 32 | £16,176 | 50 | £1,966 | 52 | £5,931 | 51 | £5,931 | 35 | £10,124 | 35 | £18,391 | 41 | £11,347 | 31 | £6,189 | 58 | £206,045 | 51 |
| Analysis | Opoids cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (DW 4.7.1, 5.4.7.2) COST based STARBU | £198,531 | 8 | £46,286 | 22 | £245,272 | 12 | £334,820 | 7 | £206,280 | 10 | £228,017 | 9 | £91,205 | 16 | £395,293 | 10 | £61,960 | 21 | £197,690 | 12 | £83,657 | 10 | £222,854 | 9 | £178,167 | 10 | £176,957 | 12 | £455,017 | 7 | £67,952 | 14 | £254,163 | 12 | £3,444,120 | 9 |
| Analysis | Opoids non-OR cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (DW 4.7.1, 5.4.7.2) COST based STARBU | £32,564 | 34 | £65,239 | 18 | £129,056 | 20 | £213,477 | 10 | £111,197 | 18 | £241,175 | 8 | £29,822 | 24 | £222,362 | 16 | £87,623 | 15 | £87,692 | 25 | £36,969 | 21 | £137,430 | 13 | £77,553 | 15 | £122,445 | 14 | £217,937 | 15 | £135,266 | 11 | £189,360 | 15 | £2,137,266 | 13 |
| Analysis | Opoids patches cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (DW 4.7.1, 5.4.7.2) COST based STARBU | £67,605 | 22 | £94,377 | 11 | £196,061 | 14 | £317,559 | 8 | £170,474 | 11 | £269,828 | 6 | £96,540 | 15 | £0 | 78 | £0 | 78 | £45,623 | 32 | £0 | 69 | £224,861 | 8 | £0 | 66 | £0 | 71 | £140,304 | 17 | £0 | 81 | £0 | 85 | £1,623,176 | 18 |
| Analysis | Non-opioid patches cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (DW 4.7.1, 5.4.7.2) COST based STARBU | £77,220 | 36 | £16,545 | 33 | £85,894 | 24 | £5,548 | 59 | £124,666 | 14 | £25,371 | 35 | £0 | 75 | £143,920 | 22 | £78,188 | 18 | £205,220 | 11 | £11,042 | 31 | £13,016 | 42 | £214,008 | 9 | £243,192 | 9 | £82,541 | 22 | £229,524 | 7 | £88,877 | 19 | £1,594,770 | 24 |
| Analysis | Lidocaine patch (nerve, lidocaine) | £68,907 | 21 | £148,338 | 9 | £73,565 | 27 | £49,965 | 29 | £117,242 | 15 | £74,647 | 23 | £12,247 | 35 | £104,649 | 24 | £8,611 | 39 | £143,592 | 16 | £0 | 69 | £6,821 | 54 | £62,961 | 18 | £76,941 | 18 | £99,227 | 19 | £7,342 | 43 | £22,305 | 38 | £1,077,359 | 24 |
| Analysis | Gabapentin and pregabalin cost per 1,000 Total Analgesics (DW 4.7.1, 5.4.7.2) COST based STARBU | £0 | 93 | £0 | 77 | £600,838 | 7 | £0 | 85 | £0 | 79 | £0 | 83 | £0 | 75 | £0 | 78 | £0 | 78 | £0 | 78 | £0 | 69 | £0 | 69 | £0 | 71 | £0 | 71 | £0 | 88 | £0 | 81 | £0 | 85 | £1,055,896 | 25 |
| Analysis | Fast acting immediate release formulations | £31,642 | 35 | £659 | 66 | £105,627 | 21 | £0 | 85 | £39,980 | 26 | £0 | 85 | £0 | 75 | £8,403 | 30 | £87,192 | 16 | £27,776 | 39 | £0 | 69 | £2,210 | 68 | £50,661 | 21 | £76,033 | 19 | £73,320 | 25 | £17,411 | 27 | £51,352 | 25 | £572,265 | 35 |
| Analysis | Low cost opioid patches as a % of all opioid patches | £0 | 93 | £0 | 77 | £0 | 84 | £0 | 85 | £16,901 | 35 | £0 | 85 | £0 | 75 | £30,759 | 35 | £0 | 78 | £0 | 87 | £0 | 69 | £32,977 | 29 | £39,296 | 22 | £55,467 | 22 | £64,705 | 28 | £4,192 | 51 | £33,887 | 32 | £278,183 | 45 |
| Analysis | Opioids/relief (largest) | £8,694 | 56 | £15,639 | 34 | £5,362 | 58 | £14,961 | 45 | £12,495 | 42 | £1,999 | 67 | £0 | 75 | £25,177 | 38 | £294 | 67 | £31,123 | 38 | £0 | 69 | £9,338 | 48 | £0 | 66 | £0 | 71 | £36,281 | 35 | £28,396 | 21 | £9,944 | 47 | £199,705 | 52 |
| Oral Nutrition | Sip feeds cost per 1,000 patients | £523,110 | 4 | £188,613 | 6 | £312,186 | 10 | £646,051 | 4 | £136,015 | 12 | £775,866 | 3 | £215,605 | 8 | £960,714 | 5 | £59,933 | 22 | £93,008 | 24 | £298,731 | 5 | £350,858 | 6 | £265,878 | 7 | £358,814 | 7 | £656,539 | 6 | £389,505 | 4 | £509,821 | 7 | £6,741,247 | 7 |
| Oral Nutrition | Infant feeds cost per 1,000 patients UNDER5 (incl. tube & sp) | £0 | 93 | £104,876 | 10 | £336,942 | 9 | £205,330 | 11 | £403,091 | 5 | £181,590 | 11 | £106,764 | 13 | £303,552 | 12 | £238,722 | 8 | £219,378 | 9 | £224,322 | 7 | £109,439 | 18 | £85,414 | 13 | £309,299 | 8 | £319,334 | 9 | £1,593 | 59 | £210,886 | 14 | £3,360,532 | 10 |
| Oral Nutrition | Preferred powdered ORS as a % of all sip feeds | £48,371 | 27 | £0 | 77 | £0 | 84 | £73,971 | 24 | £12,071 | 43 | £47,153 | 29 | £33,469 | 22 | £205,534 | 17 | £72,199 | 20 | £0 | 87 | £76,307 | 13 | £88,456 | 19 | £73,804 | 16 | £81,768 | 16 | £4,310 | 63 | £64,219 | 15 | £65,450 | 23 | £947,083 | 27 |
| Oral Nutrition | Glucose cost per 1,000 patients | £63,327 | 23 | £12,053 | 35 | £25,792 | 40 | £60,185 | 26 | £35,171 | 27 | £58,947 | 27 | £19,551 | 28 | £35,327 | 34 | £0 | 78 | £27,647 | 40 | £8,135 | 37 | £54,509 | 23 | £33,636 | 23 | £41,403 | 24 | £40,807 | 32 | £13,468 | 30 | £26,186 | 35 | £556,143 | 36 |
| Urinary | Drugs for urinary incontinence (DW 7.4.2) cost per 1,000 cost based AstraZeneca | £142,230 | 11 | £17,264 | 32 | £164,842 | 15 | £242,829 | 9 | £129,708 | 13 | £156,848 | 14 | £0 | 75 | £270,579 | 13 | £0 | 75 | £129,898 | 20 | £38,183 | 19 | £174,967 | 11 | £119,091 | 11 | £80,722 | 17 | £378,705 | 8 | £25,728 | 23 | £178,640 | 17 | £2,250,234 | 12 |
| Urinary | Generic oral drug as a % of all POCs inhibitors for ED | £96,603 | 18 | £58,877 | 20 | £147,403 | 16 | £189,850 | 14 | £99,536 | 19 | £134,022 | 15 | £96,661 | 14 | £169,491 | 21 | £81,219 | 17 | £207,579 | 10 | £37,669 | 20 | £128,062 | 16 | £60,265 | 19 | £185,680 | 11 | £88,714 | 21 | £79,039 | 13 | £181,322 | 16 | £2,036,631 | 15 |
| Urinary | Tadalafil (oral once-a-day) | £21,384 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

BLANK SHEET