

Date:	21st June 2018		Report Ti	tle:			
Meeting:	Governing Body		Governing Body Risk Appetite				
Item Number:	Item 8.6						
Public/Private:	Public ⊠ Private □						
Decisions to be made:							
Author:	Erika Stoddart		To review and agree the Governing Body's risk appetite				appetite
(Name, Title)	Lay Chair Governanc	е					
GB Lead:	Catherine Wylie						
(Name, Title)	Director of Nursing & Quality						
Director	Catherine Wylie						
approval (name)	Director of Nursing & Quality						
Director Signature	cuylia						
Continue to impreservices	ove the quality of		Improve patient experience				
Reduced unwarranted variations in services			Reduce the inequalities gap in North Lincolnshire				
Deliver the best outcomes for every patient			□ Statutory/Regulatory			$\boxtimes$	
Purpose (tick one only)			oproval	Information	To note □	Decis	ion 🗵
Executive Summ	ary (Question, Option	ns, R	ecommen	dations):			
Following on from the workshop held in May, the Governing Body is asked to review and agree its risk appetite specifically in relation to; reputation, compliance, finance, operations and strategy as set out in the attached paper.							
1 To agree the Governing Body's risk appetite							
Recommendations 2 3							
Report history							
Equality Impact	Yes □ No ⊠						
Sustainability	Yes □ No ⊠						
Risk	Yes ⊠ No □	ele	dentifying the Governing Body's 'risk appetite' is a key element of the CCG's approach to managing risks and supporting effective decision making.				

## Patient, Public, Clinical and Stakeholder Engagement to date

Legal

**Finance** 

Yes □

Yes □

No  $\boxtimes$ 

No  $\boxtimes$ 

	N/A	Y	N	Date		N/A	Υ	N	Date
Patient:			$\boxtimes$		Clinical:			$\boxtimes$	
Public:			$\boxtimes$		Other:		$\boxtimes$		Governing Body Workshop 8 <sup>th</sup> May 2018

## Report on Risk Appetite for June Governing Body

The Governing Body held a workshop on Risk Appetite on the 8<sup>th</sup> May 2018. The meeting looked at individual and group risk profiles and determined that the group as a whole was well weighted between risk takers and risk avoiders. The findings now need to be used when discussing issues/decisions that have risk implications.

The group also looked at risk appetite and split risks into 5 areas; Reputation, Compliance, Financial, Operational and Strategic.

It defines its risk appetite as:

1 5	Very Low	Minimal	Avoidance of any risk or uncertainty. Every decision will be to terminate			
- Basel			the risk.			
1 83	Low	Cautious	Preference for the safe option but is able to tolerate low level risk and			
- Basel			uncertainty. Every decision will be to mitigate the level of risk.			
1 2	Medium	Balanced	Will consider all options and tolerate a modest amount of risk if the			
			reward is demonstrated.			
			Acceptance that some loss may occur in pursuit of reward.			
1	High	Open	Open to consider all options and take a greater amount of risk and			
3			uncertainty to achieve a bigger reward. Likely to chose an option that			
			has a greater reward and accepts some loss.			
1	Very High	Hungry	Eager to take on risk to achieve objectives, will chose the option with			
g Mari			greater reward and will accept any loss as the price for reward.			



The CCG uses a 5 by 5 matrix and has determined its risk appetite as follows:

Risk Type	Appetite	Maximum Score	Grid
Reputation	Cautious	6	Cautious
Compliance	Cautious	6	Cautious

Financial	Balanced	8	Balanced
Operational	Open	12	Open
Strategic	Open	12	Open

NB. These scores are the residual risk scores after controls and actions have been taken.

The Governing Body must now ratify the appetite or amend as necessary to allow staff to assess risks against our agreed appetite.

## **Erika Stoddart**

Lay Chair of Governance and Chair of the Integrated Audit and Governance Committee

29 May 18