


MEETING DATE:	12 July 2012	 North Lincolnshire Clinical Commissioning Group REPORT TO THE CLINICAL COMMISSIONING GROUP COMMITTEE
AGENDA ITEM NUMBER:	Item 6.5	
AUTHOR:	Caroline Briggs	
JOB TITLE: DEPARTMENT:	Director of Strategy & Joint Commissioning Directorate of Strategy & Joint Commissioning	

INDIVIDUAL FUNDING REQUESTS

PURPOSE/ACTION REQUIRED:	To Receive for Information
CONSULTATION AND/OR INVOLVEMENT PROCESS:	
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION:	Public

1. PURPOSE OF THE REPORT:	
<p>Background:</p> <p>The Individual Funding Request Panel has continued to consider requests for treatment where there is no existing PCT Policy or where the Triage process cannot deal with the case.</p> <p>Data for each request coming into the PCT is collected, at practice level and this paper describes at summary level the activity for 2011-12. The spreadsheet attached reflects the number of requests received, the sources of those requests and the outcomes.</p> <p>Reporting for 2012/13 will be developed with the support of the Commissioning Support Service.</p>	
2. STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES SUPPORTED BY THIS REPORT: <i>(will be populated following agreement with Council of Members)</i>	

3. IMPACT ON RISK ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK:	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td></td> <td>No</td> <td>x</td> </tr> </table>	Yes		No	x
Yes		No	x		
4. IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENT – SUSTAINABILITY:	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td></td> <td>No</td> <td>x</td> </tr> </table>	Yes		No	x
Yes		No	x		
5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS:	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td></td> <td>No</td> <td>x</td> </tr> </table>	Yes		No	x
Yes		No	x		
6. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>x</td> <td>No</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Yes	x	No	
Yes	x	No			
<p>The management of the IFR process is within current resources. During 2012/13 the support for managing the IFR process will transfer to the Commissioning Support Service.</p>					
7. EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT:	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td></td> <td>No</td> <td>x</td> </tr> </table>	Yes		No	x
Yes		No	x		
8. PROPOSED PUBLIC & PATIENT INVOLVEMENT AND COMMUNICATIONS:	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td></td> <td>No</td> <td>x</td> </tr> </table>	Yes		No	x
Yes		No	x		
9. RECOMMENDATIONS:					
<p>The CCG is asked to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Note the outcomes of Individual Funding Requests in 2011/12 • Receive quarterly reports in relation to 2012/13 					

2011/12 Individual Funding Requests

Cases dealt with by Triage function		759
Approved by Triage	475	
Returned by Triage	172	
Advice given by Triage	93	
No Further Action*	19	

* Requestor withdrew IFR/patient declined treatment

Cases submitted to IFR Panel		306
Yes decisions	208	
No decisions	64	
Deferred for more info	34	
of which appealed	15	
supported at appeal	0	
not supported at appeal	14	
deferred back to IFR panel	1	

Cases submitted to PCT Board		1
-------------------------------------	--	----------

<u>Total Cases</u>		<u>1066</u>
---------------------------	--	--------------------

Origin of IFR

Primary Care	723
Primary Care Dentists	20
Bradford Teaching Hospitals	1
Central Manchester and Manchester Childrens	1
Doncaster and Bassetlaw	5
Guys and St Thomas	2
Hull & East Yorkshire Hospitals	71
Hull IVF Unit	1
Leeds Partnership Foundation Trust	3
Leeds Teaching Hospitals	2
Mid Yorkshire Hospitals	2
Northern Lincs & Goole	181
Nottingham University Hospitals	1
Patient/Parent	10
Rotherham Doncaster & South Humber	24
Sheffield Children's NHS Trust	4
Sheffield Teaching Hospitals	9
Spire Leicester	1
Spire Hull & East Riding	5

<u>Total</u>		<u>1066</u>
---------------------	--	--------------------